

Dynamically speaking

The lecture of Monday 11/24 was not quite finished. We finish it here. The moral of the story will be: *Diagonalization gives us power.*

We were considering the simple *dynamical system*

$$(1) \quad \vec{x}(t+1) = A\vec{x}(t), \quad t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$; and $\vec{x}(t)$ is an \mathbb{R}^m -valued function defined on the non-negative integers, the so-called *state vector* of the system, which is assumed to satisfy the recursion relation (1). I feel like changing the symbol from t to n , to make it look more like Calculus II. (1) is then

$$(1a) \quad \vec{x}(n+1) = A\vec{x}(n).$$

Most, though not all, matrices are diagonalizable over \mathbb{C} . (Some very simple matrices are not diagonalizable; remembering and appreciating some will add to your understanding of diagonalization.) We proceed on the assumption that A is diagonalizable. The outcome is then not so complicated, as we'll see. This will make it transparent how to determine whether (1) is asymptotically stable. We allow \mathbb{C} (the complex numbers) to be the scalars, so every polynomial splits, but the eigenvalues could be complex numbers. A 's being diagonalizable means that there is a (complex) eigenbasis for A .

Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ be the eigenvalues of A (listed multiply for multiple eigenvalues), and let $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$ be corresponding eigenvectors, so $A\vec{v}_j = \lambda_j\vec{v}_j$. By the usual process, we obtain

$$(2) \quad D = S^{-1}AS, \quad \text{with} \quad S = [\vec{v}_1 \mid \dots \mid \vec{v}_m],$$

the matrix with the \vec{v}_j 's as column vectors, and D is the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries the λ_j 's (in order). Solving (2) for A , we obtain the formula

$$(3) \quad A = SDS^{-1}.$$

Inserting this into (1a) gives $\vec{x}(n+1) = SDS^{-1}\vec{x}(n)$, equivalently

$$(4) \quad S^{-1}\vec{x}(n+1) = DS^{-1}\vec{x}(n).$$

Changing variables to suit the situation, we let

$$\vec{y} = S^{-1}\vec{x},$$

which is just a linear change of variables (from \vec{x} to \vec{y}). The equation (4), expressed in terms of \vec{y} , has a very simple form:

$$\vec{y}(n+1) = D\vec{y}(n),$$

or written out for each j ,

$$(5) \quad y_j(n+1) = \lambda_j y_j(n);$$

diagonalization serves to *uncouple* the variables. We see that (5) admits the explicit (i.e., non-recursive) formula

$$(6) \quad y_j(n) = \lambda_j^n y_j(0).$$

This implies that $\vec{y}(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all initial states if and only if $|\lambda_j| < 1$ for all j .

From (4) we quickly deduce that

$$(7) \quad \vec{x}(n) = S\vec{y}(n)$$

We now put the formulas (6) into (7) to solve the problem. Note that (7) says that each $x_i(n)$ is a specific linear combination of the y_j 's—you know,

$$(8) \quad x_i = \sum_{j=1}^m s_{ij} y_j.$$

Can you see why $\vec{x}(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all initial states $\vec{x}(0)$ if and only if $\vec{y}(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all initial states? It may not be obvious, but it is true, because S is invertible. (Surely you can see this when $m = 1$, for starters. Maybe consider the case $m = 2$ next, and if you succeed, that may suggest the general pattern.) I will not address this here. We obtain that $\vec{x}(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all initial states if and only if $|\lambda_j| < 1$ for all j .

In sum, asymptotic stability gets decided by the eigenvalues of A . Better living through diagonalization!