

Correction

While taking a shower yesterday, I realized that I said something blatantly incorrect in Friday's lecture.

At issue was orthogonal projection onto an m -dimensional subspace V of \mathbb{R}^n . I asserted that the formula for the (standard basis) matrix of orthogonal projection is QQ^T , where Q is the $n \times m$ matrix whose columns are given by any basis of V . This was questioned by a student: does it have to be an *orthonormal* basis? That student was right.

A quick reason why it is wrong for general bases is to note that if one multiplies all vectors in the basis by a scalar, say 2, both Q and Q^T get multiplied by 2, so QQ^T gets multiplied by 4. Thus, one does not get the same matrix for the two bases. We can see why the formula *is* correct when an orthonormal basis is used (see below). Believe it or not, I went through that earlier in the week!

Let $\mathfrak{B}_1 = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m\}$ be an orthonormal basis of V , and use an orthonormal basis \mathfrak{B}_2 of V^\perp to make a basis $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B}_1 \cup \mathfrak{B}_2$ of \mathbb{R}^n . The rows of Q^T are the elements of \mathfrak{B}_1 , laid down as row vectors. From the interpretation of matrix multiplication in terms of dot product and the orthogonality conditions on \mathfrak{B} , we get that $Q^T \vec{v}_i = \vec{e}_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$; and $Q^T \vec{w} = \vec{0}$ when $\vec{w} \in \mathfrak{B}_2$ (so $QQ^T \vec{w} = \vec{0}$). On the other hand, note that $QQ^T \vec{v}_i = Q\vec{e}_i$ equals the i th column vector of Q , namely \vec{v}_i . In other words, QQ^T does what projection onto V does to the basis \mathfrak{B} , so the two linear transformations are equal. *Can you see how that compares to the SBS^{-1} formula from the lecture on 10/22? We used the same sort of basis.*

Taking $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ (so $V^\perp = \{\vec{0}\}$ and \mathfrak{B}_2 is empty), one recovers the fact that for an orthogonal matrix Q , $QQ^T = I$.