

## M405 - HOMEWORK SET #5- SOLUTIONS

3.2.1 Let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset A$  be a finite collection of points. Define  $A_n = A - \{x_n\} = A \cap (\mathbb{R} - \{x_n\})$ , which are open being the intersection of two open sets. Then

$$A - \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_n$$

is open, being a finite intersection of open sets. It should now also be clear what might go wrong if we remove countable number of points from an open set: the the intersection above might not be open. For a concrete example, let  $A = \mathbb{R}$  and  $\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots\}$  be a countable collection of point of  $A$ . We have that  $A - \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots\}$  is not open since it contains 0, but no open interval containing 0.

3.2.2 Let  $x$  be a limit point of  $A$ . We define a subsequence of  $\{x_i\}$  whose limit is  $x$ . Define an increasing function  $k : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  as follows. Let  $k(1)$  be such that  $|x_{k(1)} - x| < 1$ . Such value exists since  $x$  is a limit point of  $A$ . Assuming we defined  $k(i)$  for all  $i < n$ , we choose  $k(n) > k(n-1)$  such that  $|x_{k(n)} - x| < \frac{1}{n}$ . There are infinitely many points of  $A$  satisfying this property which in particular means there are infinitely many terms of  $\{x_i\}$  which satisfy this property, and therefore such  $k(n)$  exists. It should be clear that  $\{x_{k(i)}\}$  is a convergent subsequence of  $\{x_i\}$  with limit  $x$ .

In the other direction, assume that no point of  $A$  occurs more than a finite number of times in the sequence and that  $x$  is a limit point of the sequence  $\{x_i\}$ . Let  $\{x_{k(i)}\}$  be a subsequence of  $\{x_i\}$  which converges to  $x$ . Assume by contradiction that  $x$  is not a limit point of  $A$ . Then there exists  $\frac{1}{n}$  such that  $A \cap (x - \frac{1}{n}, x + \frac{1}{n})$  is either empty or equals  $\{x\}$ . Since  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_{k(i)} = x$ , there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $i > m$ ,  $|x_{k(i)} - x| < \frac{1}{n}$  and hence  $x_{k(i)} = x$  for all  $i > m$ . This contradicts that no point of  $A$  occurs more than a finite number of times in the sequence.

3.2.6 Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Define a subset

$$B = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \mid \exists a \in A \text{ s.t. } x < a < y\}.$$

The set  $B$  is countable since it is a subset of a countable set  $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$ . Choose an element  $a_{x,y} \in (x, y)$  for every  $(x, y) \in B$  and let  $A' \subset A$  be the union of all  $a_{x,y}$ . The set  $A'$  is countable since there is an onto map  $B \rightarrow A'$  sending  $(x, y)$  to  $a_{x,y}$ .

We claim that  $A'$  is dense in  $A$ , i.e., that  $A \subset \text{closure}(A')$ . The closure of  $A'$  consists of  $A'$  and all the limit points of  $A'$ . We therefore have to show that for every  $a \in A$ , either  $a \in A'$  or it is a limit point of  $A'$ . Let  $a \in A - A'$ . We show that  $a$  is a limit point of  $A'$ . Given  $\frac{1}{n}$ , choose  $x \in (a - \frac{1}{n}, a) \cap \mathbb{Q}$  and  $y \in (a, a + \frac{1}{n}) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ . We have that  $(x, y) \in B$  since  $x < a < y$ . Therefore there is  $a_{x,y} \in A'$  satisfying  $|a_{x,y} - a| < \frac{1}{n}$ . Moreover  $a_{x,y} \neq a$  since  $a \notin A'$ . It follows that  $a$  is a limit point of  $A'$ .

An example of a set  $A$  such that the intersection of  $A$  with the rational numbers is not dense in  $A$  is the set of irrational numbers  $\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$ . The intersection is in fact empty.

3.2.8 Let  $\{x_i\}$  be a sequence and  $A$  be the set of limit points of  $\{x_i\}$ . We want to show that  $A$  is closed. We show that  $A$  contains all of its limit points. Let  $a$  be a limit

point of  $A$ . Then for any  $\frac{1}{n}$ , there exists  $x \in A$  with  $|x - a| < \frac{1}{2n}$ . Since  $x \in A$ , there are infinitely many terms  $x_i$  such that  $|x_i - x| < \frac{1}{2n}$ . For every such term, by the triangle inequality, we have

$$|x_i - a| \leq |x_i - x| + |x - a| < \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{2n} = \frac{1}{n}.$$

Having infinitely many terms  $x_i$  satisfying this property for any  $\frac{1}{n}$  means  $a$  is a limit point of  $\{x_i\}$ . In particular,  $a \in A$  and hence  $A$  is closed.

3.2.13 Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  and let the derived set  $A'$  be the set of limit points of  $A$ . We would like to show that  $A'$  is closed. Let  $a$  be a limit point of  $A'$ . We would like to show that  $a \in A'$ , i.e.,  $a$  is a limit point of  $A$ . We show that given any  $\frac{1}{n}$ , there is a point  $x \in A$ ,  $x \neq a$  such that  $|x - a| < \frac{1}{n}$ . Since  $a$  is a limit point of  $A'$ , there exists  $y \in A'$ , not equal to  $a$  such that  $|y - a| < \frac{1}{2n}$ . Since  $y$  is a limit point of  $A$ , there exists a point  $x \in A$  such that  $|x - y| < |y - a|/2$ . Then

$$|x - a| \leq |x - y| + |y - a| < \frac{|y - a|}{2} + \frac{1}{2n} < \frac{1}{4n} + \frac{1}{2n} < \frac{1}{n}$$

We additionally need to verify that  $x \neq a$ . For that, we apply the reverse triangle inequality

$$|a - x| \geq |a - y| - |x - y| \geq |a - y| - |y - a|/2 = |y - a|/2 > 0.$$

As per examples:

–  $A = \{0\}$  is a closed set whose derived set is empty.

– Let  $A = \{\frac{1}{n} | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then  $A' = \{0\}$  and  $A'' = \emptyset$ .

3.3.2 Let us give a name to the property which we would like to show is equivalent to compactness.

**Definition 1.** We say that a set  $A$  satisfies property B if for any collection  $\mathcal{B}$  of closed sets such that the intersection of any finite number of them contains a point of  $A$ , the intersection of all of them contains a point of  $A$ .

We now show that a set  $A$  is compact if and only if it satisfies property B.

Assume  $A$  satisfies property B. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a collection of open sets which covers  $A$ . Consider the collection  $\mathcal{B} = \{U^c | U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  of the complements of the sets in  $\mathcal{U}$ . It is a collection of closed sets. We have

$$\bigcap_{U \in \mathcal{U}} U^c = \left( \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{U}} U \right)^c \subset A^c$$

where the last inclusion follows from the fact that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a cover of  $A$ . In particular, the intersection of all elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  does NOT contain points of  $A$ . Since  $A$  satisfies property B, there must be a finite sub-collection  $\{U_1^c, U_2^c, \dots, U_k^c\}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^k U_i^c$  does not contain points of  $A$ . In other words

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^k U_i^c = \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^k U_i \right)^c \subset A^c$$

and hence the finite subcollection  $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_k\}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  covers  $A$ .

Assume  $A$  is compact and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a collection of closed sets such that the intersection of any finite subcollection of  $\mathcal{B}$  contains elements of  $A$ . Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{B^c | B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ .

The condition on  $\mathcal{B}$  implies that for any finite subcollection  $\{B_1^c, B_2^c, \dots, B_3^c\}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$ , we have

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^k B_i^c = \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^k B_i\right)^c \not\supset A$$

since for  $a \in A$ ,  $a \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k B_i$  implies  $a \notin \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^k B_i\right)^c$ . Therefore  $\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^k B_i\right)^c \not\supset A$ . In particular, no finite subcollection of  $\mathcal{U}$  covers  $A$ . Since  $A$  is compact, we have that the entire collection  $\mathcal{U}$  also does not cover  $A$ , i.e.,

$$\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B^c = \left(\bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B\right)^c \not\supset A$$

which then implies that  $\bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B$  contains points of  $A$ . Therefore  $A$  satisfies property B.

3.3.4 If  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are disjoint and  $A \subset B_1 \cup B_2$ , then  $A \cap B_1 = A \cap B_2^c$ . Assume  $A$  is compact and hence closed and bounded. Since  $B_2^c$  is closed, so is  $A \cap B_2^c$ . Since  $A$  is bounded, so is  $A \cap B_2^c$ . Therefore,  $A \cap B_2^c$  is closed and bounded and hence compact.

3.3.6 Assume  $A$  is open and  $a+b \in A+B$  with  $a \in A, b \in B$ . Since  $A$  is open, there exists an open interval  $U \subset A$  containing  $a$ . Then the set  $U + \{b\}$  is contained in  $A+B$  and is an open interval, being a translation of  $U$  by  $b$ . Hence  $A+B$  is open.

Assume  $A, B$  are compact. Let  $\{x_i\}$  be a sequence in  $A+B$ . For each  $x_i$ , choose  $a_i \in A$  and  $b_i \in B$  such that  $a_i + b_i = x_i$ . Since  $A$  is compact, there exists a convergent subsequence  $\{a_{k(i)}\}$  of  $\{a_i\}$  with the limit in  $A$ . Since  $B$  is compact, there exists a convergent subsequence  $\{b_{l(i)}\}$  of  $\{b_{k(i)}\}$  with the limit in  $B$ . Then, since  $\{a_{l(i)}\}$  is a subsequence of a convergent sequence  $\{a_{k(i)}\}$ , it is also convergent. We therefore have that  $\{x_{l(i)}\}$  is a convergent sequence of  $\{x_i\}$ . Its limit is  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} a_{l(i)} + \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} b_{l(i)} \in A+B$ .

Since an arbitrary sequence in  $A+B$  has a convergent subsequence with the limit in  $A+B$ , the set  $A+B$  is compact.

Let  $A = \{n + \frac{1}{n+1} | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $B = \{-n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then both  $A$  and  $B$  are closed. On the other hand, the set  $A+B$  contains elements  $\frac{1}{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  but not 0 and therefore is not closed.

- Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a collection of open sets which covers  $A$ . Then  $\mathcal{U} \cup \{A^c\}$  covers  $B$  and therefore has a finite subcover  $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_k, A^c\}$ . Since  $A \subset B$ , the collection  $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_k, A^c\}$  covers  $A$ . Moreover, since  $A \cap A^c = \emptyset$ , removing  $A^c$  from the collection, does not change the fact that it covers  $A$ . Therefore  $\{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$  is a finite subcover of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Hence  $A$  is compact.