

A NOTE ON STARSHAPED COMPACT HYPERSURFACES WITH PRESCRIBED SCALAR CURVATURE IN SPACE FORMS

JOEL SPRUCK AND LING XIAO

ABSTRACT. In [7], Guan, Ren and Wang obtained a C^2 a priori estimate for admissible 2-convex hypersurfaces satisfying the Weingarten curvature equation $\sigma_2(\kappa(X)) = f(X, \nu(X))$. In this note, we give a simpler proof of this result, and extend it to space forms.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [7], Guan, Ren and Wang solved the long standing problem of obtaining global C^2 estimates for a closed convex hypersurface $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ of prescribed k th elementary symmetric function of curvature in general form:

$$(1.1) \quad \sigma_k(\kappa(X)) = f(X, \nu(X)), \forall X \in M.$$

In the case $k = 2$ of scalar curvature, they were able to prove the estimate for strictly starshaped 2-convex hypersurfaces. Their proof relies on new test curvature functions and elaborate analytic arguments to overcome the difficulties caused by allowing f to depend of ν .

In this note, we give a simpler proof for the scalar curvature case and we extend the result to space forms $N^{n+1}(K)$, with $K = -1, 0, 1$. Our main result is stated in Theorem 2.1 of section 2 and leads to the existence Theorem 3.3. For related results in the literature see [3], [6], [2] and [8].

2. PRESCRIBED SCALAR CURVATURE

Let $N^{n+1}(K)$ be a space form of sectional curvature $K = -1, 0$, and $+1$. Let $g^N := ds^2$ denote the Riemannian metric of $N^{n+1}(K)$. In Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , fix the origin O and let \mathbb{S}^n denote the unit sphere centered at O . Suppose that (z, ρ) are spherical coordinates in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , where $z \in \mathbb{S}^n$. The standard metric on S^n induced

Research supported in part by the NSF.

from \mathbb{R}^{n+1} is denoted by dz^2 . Let a be constant, $0 < a \leq \infty$, $I = [0, a)$, and $\phi(\rho)$ a positive function on I . Then the new metric

$$(2.1) \quad g^N := ds^2 = d\rho^2 + \phi^2(\rho)dz^2.$$

on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} is a model of N^{n+1} which is Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{n+1} if $\phi(\rho) = \rho$, $a = \infty$, the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{n+1} if $\phi(\rho) = \sin(\rho)$, $a = \pi/2$ and hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^{n+1} if $\phi(\rho) = \sinh(\rho)$, $a = \infty$.

We recall some formulas for the induced metric, normal, and second fundamental form on \mathcal{M} (see [2]). We will denote by ∇' the covariant derivatives with respect to the standard spherical metric e_{ij} , and by ∇ the covariant derivatives with respect to some local orthonormal frame on \mathcal{M} . Then we have

$$(2.2) \quad g_{ij} = \phi^2 e_{ij} + \rho_i \rho_j, \quad g^{ij} = \frac{1}{\phi^2} \left(e^{ij} - \frac{\rho^i \rho^j}{\phi^2 + |\nabla' \rho|^2} \right),$$

$$(2.3) \quad \nu = \frac{(-\nabla' \rho, \phi^2)}{\sqrt{\phi^4 + \phi^2 |\nabla' \rho|^2}},$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad h_{ij} = \frac{\phi}{\sqrt{\phi^2 + |\nabla' \rho|^2}} \left(-\nabla'_{ij} \rho + \frac{2\phi'}{\phi} \rho_i \rho_j + \phi \phi' e_{ij} \right).$$

Consider the vector field $V = \phi(\rho) \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}$ in $N^{n+1}(K)$, and define $\Phi(\rho) = \int_0^\rho \phi(r) dr$. Then, $u := \langle V, \nu \rangle$ is the support function. By a straight forward calculation we have the following equations (see [5] lemma 2.2 and lemma 2.6).

$$(2.5) \quad \nabla_{ij} \Phi = \phi' g_{ij} - u h_{ij},$$

$$(2.6) \quad \nabla_i u = g^{kl} h_{ik} \nabla_l \Phi,$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad \nabla_{ij} u = g^{kl} \nabla_k h_{ij} \nabla_l \Phi + \phi' h_{ij} - u g^{kl} h_{ik} h_{jl}.$$

Now let Γ_k be the connected component of $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sigma_k(\lambda) > 0\}$, where

$$\sigma_k = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k} \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_k}$$

is the k -th mean curvature. $\mathcal{M} := \{(z, \rho(z)) : z \in \mathbb{S}^n\}$ is an embedded hypersurface in N^{n+1} . We call ρ *k-admissible* if the principal curvatures $(\lambda_1(\rho(z)), \dots, \lambda_n(\rho(z)))$ of

\mathcal{M} belong to Γ_k . Our problem is to study a smooth positive 2-admissible function ρ on \mathbb{S}^n satisfying

$$(2.8) \quad \sigma_2(\lambda(b)) = \psi(V, \nu),$$

where $b = \{b_{ij}\} = \{\gamma^{ik}h_{kl}\gamma^{lj}\}$, $\{h_{ij}\}$ is the second fundamental form of \mathcal{M} , and γ^{ij} is $\sqrt{g^{-1}}$. Equivalently, we study the solution of the following equation

$$(2.9) \quad F(b) = \binom{n}{2}^{(-1/2)} \sigma_2(\lambda(b))^{1/2} = f(\lambda(b_{ij})) = \bar{\psi}(V, \nu).$$

Now we are ready to state and prove our main result.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose $\mathcal{M} = \{(z, \rho(z)) \mid z \in \mathbb{S}^n\} \subset N^{n+1}$ is a closed 2-convex hypersurface which is strictly starshaped with respect to the origin and satisfies equation (2.9) for some positive function $\bar{\psi}(V, \nu) \in C^2(\Gamma)$, where Γ is an open neighborhood of the unit normal bundle of \mathcal{M} in $N^{n+1} \times \mathbb{S}^n$. Suppose also we have uniform control $0 < R_1 \leq \rho(z) \leq R_2 < a$, $|\rho|_{C^1} \leq R_3$. Then there is a constant C depending only on n, R_1, R_2, R_3 and $|\bar{\psi}|_{C^2}$, such that*

$$(2.10) \quad \max_{z \in \mathbb{S}^n} |\kappa_i(z)| \leq C.$$

Proof. Since $\sigma_1(\kappa) > 0$ on \mathcal{M} , it suffices to estimate from above, the largest principal curvature of \mathcal{M} . Consider

$$M_0 = \max_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{M}} e^{\beta\Phi} \frac{\kappa_{\max}}{u - a},$$

where $u \geq 2a$ and β is a large constant to be chosen (we will always assume $\beta\phi' + K > 0$). Then M_0 is achieved at $\mathbf{x}_0 = (z_0, \rho(z_0))$ and we may choose a local orthonormal frame e_1, \dots, e_n around \mathbf{x}_0 such that $h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_0) = \kappa_i \delta_{ij}$, where $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n$ are the principal curvatures of Σ at \mathbf{x}_0 . We may assume $\kappa_1 = \kappa_{\max}(\mathbf{x}_0)$. Thus at \mathbf{x}_0 , $\log h_{11} - \log(u - a) + \beta\Phi$ has a local maximum. Therefore,

$$(2.11) \quad 0 = \frac{\nabla_i h_{11}}{h_{11}} - \frac{\nabla_i u}{u - a} + \beta\Phi_i,$$

and

$$(2.12) \quad 0 \geq \frac{\nabla_{ii} h_{11}}{h_{11}} - \left(\frac{\nabla_i h_{11}}{h_{11}} \right)^2 - \frac{\nabla_{ii} u}{u - a} + \left(\frac{\nabla_i u}{u - a} \right)^2 + \beta\Phi_{ii}.$$

By the Gauss and Codazzi equations, we have $\nabla_k h_{ij} = \nabla_j h_{ik}$ and

$$(2.13) \quad \nabla_{11} h_{ii} = \nabla_{ii} h_{11} + h_{11} h_{ii}^2 - h_{11}^2 h_{ii} + K(h_{11} \delta_{1i} \delta_{1i} - h_{11} \delta_{ii} + h_{ii} - h_{i1} \delta_{i1}).$$

Therefore,

(2.14)

$$\begin{aligned} F^{ii}\nabla_{11}h_{ii} &= F^{ii}\nabla_{ii}h_{11} + \kappa_1 \sum_i f_i \kappa_i^2 - \kappa_1^2 \sum f_i \kappa_i + K \left(-\kappa_1 \sum_i f_i + \sum_i f_i \kappa_i \right) \\ &= \sum_i f_i \nabla_{ii}h_{11} + \kappa_1 \sum_i f_i \kappa_i^2 - \bar{\psi} \kappa_1^2 + K \left(-\kappa_1 \sum_i f_i + \bar{\psi} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Covariantly differentiating equation (2.9) twice yields

$$(2.15) \quad F^{ii}h_{iik} = \bar{\psi}_V(\nabla_{e_k} V) + h_{ks}\bar{\psi}_\nu(e_s)$$

so that

$$(2.16) \quad \left| \sum_i f_i h_{iis} \Phi_s \right| \leq C(1 + \kappa_1)$$

and

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} F^{ii}h_{ii11} + F^{ij,kl}h_{ij1}h_{kl1} &= \nabla_{11}(\bar{\psi}) \geq -C(1 + \kappa_1^2) + h_{11s}\bar{\psi}_\nu(e_s) \\ &\geq -C(1 + \kappa_1^2 + \beta\kappa_1) \quad (\text{using (2.11)}). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.17) and (2.14) and using (2.5), (2.6),(2.7),(2.11),(2.12), (2.15),(2.16) gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \frac{1}{\kappa_1} \left\{ -C(1 + \kappa_1^2 + \beta\kappa_1) - F^{ij,kl}\nabla_1 h_{ij}\nabla_1 h_{kl} - \kappa_1 \sum f_i \kappa_i^2 + \kappa_1^2 \bar{\psi} - K(-\kappa_1 \sum f_i + \bar{\psi}) \right\} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\kappa_1^2} \sum f_i |\nabla_i h_{11}|^2 - \frac{1}{u-a} \sum f_i \{h_{iis}\Phi_s - u\kappa_i^2 + \phi'\kappa_i\} + \sum f_i \frac{|\nabla_i u|^2}{(u-a)^2} - u\beta\bar{\psi} + \beta\phi' \sum f_i \\ &\geq -C(\kappa_1 + \beta) - \frac{1}{\kappa_1} F^{ij,kl}\nabla_1 h_{ij}\nabla_1 h_{kl} + \frac{a}{u-a} \sum f_i \kappa_i^2 + (\beta\phi' + K) \sum f_i \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\kappa_1^2} \sum f_i |\nabla_i h_{11}|^2 + \sum f_i \frac{|\nabla_i u|^2}{(u-a)^2} \end{aligned}$$

In other words,

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\geq -C(\kappa_1 + \beta) - \frac{1}{\kappa_1} F^{ij,kl}\nabla_1 h_{ij}\nabla_1 h_{kl} + \frac{a}{u-a} \sum f_i \kappa_i^2 \\ &\quad + (\beta\phi' + K) \sum f_i - \frac{1}{\kappa_1^2} \sum f_i |\nabla_i h_{11}|^2 + \sum f_i \frac{|\nabla_i u|^2}{(u-a)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.11) we have for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$(2.19) \quad \frac{1}{\kappa_1^2} \sum f_i |\nabla_i h_{11}|^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon^{-1})\beta^2 \sum f_i |\nabla_i \Phi|^2 + \frac{(1 + \epsilon)}{(u-a)^2} \sum f_i |\nabla_i u|^2.$$

Using this in (2.18) we obtain

$$(2.20) \quad 0 \geq -C(\kappa_1 + \beta) - \frac{1}{\kappa_1} F^{ij,kl} \nabla_1 h_{ij} \nabla_1 h_{kl} + \left(\frac{a}{u-a} - C\epsilon \right) \sum f_i \kappa_i^2 + [\beta\phi' + K - C\beta^2(1 + \epsilon^{-1})]T ,$$

where $T = \sum f_i$. Now we divide the remainder of the proof into two cases.

Case A. Assume $\kappa_n \leq -\frac{\kappa_1}{n}$. In this case, equation (2.20) implies (here ϵ is small controlled multiple of a and we use $f_n \geq f_i$ which holds by concavity of f)

$$(2.21) \quad 0 \geq -C(\kappa_1 + \beta) + \frac{a}{C} \sum f_i \kappa_i^2 - C\beta^2 T \geq -C(\kappa_1 + \beta) + \left(\frac{1}{C} \kappa_1^2 - C\beta^2 \right) T$$

Since $T \geq 1$ by the concavity of f , equation (2.21) implies $\kappa_1 \leq C\beta$ at \mathbf{x}_0 .

Case B. Assume $\kappa_n > -\frac{\kappa_1}{n}$. Let us partition $\{1, \dots, n\}$ into 2 parts,

$$I = \{j : f_j \leq n^2 f_1\} \text{ and } J = \{j : f_j > n^2 f_1\}.$$

For $i \in I$, we have (by (2.11)) for any $\epsilon > 0$

$$(2.22) \quad \frac{1}{\kappa_1^2} f_i |\nabla_i h_{11}|^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon) \sum f_i \frac{|\nabla_i u|^2}{(u-a)^2} + C(1 + \epsilon^{-1})\beta^2 f_1.$$

Inserting this into equation (2.18) gives (for ϵ a small controlled multiple of a^2)

$$(2.23) \quad 0 \geq -C(\kappa_1 + \beta) - \frac{1}{\kappa_1} F^{ij,kl} \nabla_1 h_{ij} \nabla_1 h_{kl} + \frac{a}{C} \sum f_i \kappa_i^2 + (\beta\phi' + K) \sum f_i - \frac{1}{\kappa_1^2} \sum_{i \in J} f_i |\nabla_i h_{11}|^2 - C\beta^2 f_1.$$

Now we use an inequality due to Andrews [1] and Gerhardt [4]:

$$(2.24) \quad \begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{\kappa_1} F^{ij,kl} \nabla_1 h_{ij} \nabla_1 h_{kl} &\geq \frac{1}{\kappa_1} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{f_i - f_j}{\kappa_j - \kappa_i} |\nabla_1 h_{ij}|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{2}{\kappa_1} \sum_{j \geq 2} \frac{f_j - f_1}{\kappa_1 - \kappa_j} |\nabla_j h_{11}|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{2}{\kappa_1^2} \sum_{j \in J} f_j |\nabla_j h_{11}|^2 . \end{aligned}$$

We now insert (2.24) into (2.23) to obtain

$$(2.25) \quad 0 \geq -C(\kappa_1 + \beta) + \frac{a}{C} \sum f_i \kappa_i^2 + (\beta\phi' + K) \sum f_i - C\beta^2 f_1.$$

Since $\kappa_n > -\frac{1}{n}\kappa_1$ we have that

$$\sum f_i = \frac{(n-1)\sigma_1}{2\binom{n}{2}\bar{\psi}} > \frac{\kappa_1 - \frac{n-1}{n}\kappa_1}{n\bar{\psi}} = \frac{\kappa_1}{n^2\bar{\psi}}$$

We also note that on \mathcal{M} , ϕ' is bounded below by a positive controlled constant so we may assume $\beta\phi' + K$ is large. Therefore from (2.25) we obtain

$$(2.26) \quad 0 \geq \left(\frac{\beta\phi' + K}{n^2\bar{\psi}} - C\right)\kappa_1 - C\beta + \left(\frac{a}{C_2}\kappa_1^2 - C\beta^2\right)f_1.$$

We now fix β large enough that $\frac{\beta\phi' + K}{n^2\bar{\psi}} > 2C$ which implies a uniform upper bound for κ_1 at \mathbf{x}_0 . By the definition of M_0 we then obtain a uniform upper bound for κ_{max} on \mathcal{M} which implies a uniform upper and lower bound for the principle curvatures. \square

3. LOWER ORDER ESTIMATES

In this section, we obtain C^0 and C^1 estimates for the more general equation:

$$(3.1) \quad \sigma_k(\kappa) = \psi(V, \nu),$$

where $k = 1, \dots, n$.

3.1. C^0 estimates. The C^0 -estimates were proved in [2] but for the reader's convenience we include the simple proof.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $1 \leq k \leq n$ and let $\psi \in C^2(N^{n+1} \times \mathbb{S}^n)$ be a positive function. Suppose there exist two numbers R_1 and R_2 , $0 < R_1 < R_2 < a$, such that*

$$(3.2) \quad \psi\left(V, \frac{V}{|V|}\right) \geq \sigma_k(1, \dots, 1)q^k(\rho), \rho = R_1,$$

$$(3.3) \quad \psi\left(V, \frac{V}{|V|}\right) \leq \sigma_k(1, \dots, 1)q^k(\rho), \rho = R_2,$$

where $q(\rho) = \frac{1}{\phi} \frac{d\phi}{d\rho}$. Let $\rho \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^n)$ be a solution of equation (3.1). Then

$$R_1 \leq \rho \leq R_2.$$

Proof. Suppose that $\max_{z \in \mathbb{S}^n} \rho(z) = \rho(z_0) > R_2$. Then at z_0 ,

$$g^{ij} = \phi^{-2} e^{ij}, \quad h_{ij} = -\nabla'_{ij} \rho + \phi \phi' e_{ij} \geq \phi \phi' e_{ij}, \quad b_{ij} \geq q(\rho) \delta_{ij}.$$

Hence $\psi(V, \nu)(z_0) = \sigma_k(b_{ij})(z_0) > q^k(R_2) \sigma_k(1, \dots, 1)$, contradicting (3.3). The proof of (3.2) is similar. \square

3.2. C^1 estimates. In this section, we follow the idea of [3] and [6] to derive C^1 estimates for the height function ρ . In other words, we are looking for a lower bound for the support function u . First, we need the following technical assumption: for any fixed unit vector ν ,

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} (\phi(\rho)^k \psi(V, \nu)) \leq 0, \quad \text{where } |V| = \phi(\rho).$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let M be a radial graph in N^{n+1} satisfying (3.1), (3.4) and let ρ be the height function of M . If ρ has positive upper and lower bounds, then there is a constant C depending on the minimum and maximum values of ρ , such that*

$$|\nabla \rho| \leq C.$$

Proof. Consider $h = -\log u + \gamma(\Phi(\rho))$ and suppose h achieves its maximum at z_0 . We will show that for a suitable choice of $\gamma(t)$, $u(z_0) = |V(z_0)|$, that is $V(z_0) = |V(z_0)|\nu(z_0)$, which implies a uniform lower bound for u on M . If not, we can choose a local orthonormal frame $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ on M such that $\langle V, e_1 \rangle \neq 0$, and $\langle V, e_i \rangle = 0$, $i \geq 2$. Then at z_0 we have,

$$(3.5) \quad h_i = \frac{-u_i}{u} + \gamma' \nabla_i \Phi = 0,$$

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\geq h_{ii} = \frac{-u_{ii}}{u} + \left(\frac{u_i}{u}\right)^2 + \gamma' \nabla_{ii} \Phi + \gamma'' (\nabla_i \Phi)^2 \\ &= \frac{-1}{u} (h_{ii1} \nabla_1 \Phi + \phi' h_{ii} - u h_{ii}^2) + [(\gamma')^2 + \gamma''] (\nabla_i \Phi)^2 + \gamma' (\phi' g_{ii} - h_{ii} u). \end{aligned}$$

Equation (3.5) gives

$$(3.7) \quad h_{11} = u\gamma', \quad h_{i1} = 0, \quad i \geq 2$$

so we may rotate $\{e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ so that $h_{ij}(z_0, \rho(z_0))$ is diagonal. Hence,

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \frac{-1}{u} (\sigma_k^{ii} h_{ii1} \nabla_1 \Phi + \phi' k \psi - u \sigma_k^{ii} h_{ii}^2) \\ &\quad + [(\gamma')^2 + \gamma''] (\nabla_1 \Phi)^2 \sigma^{11} + \gamma' (\phi' \sum \sigma_k^{ii} - k \psi u) \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating equation (3.1) with respect to e_1 we obtain

$$(3.9) \quad \sigma_k^{ii} h_{ii1} = d_V \psi(\nabla_{e_1} V) + h_{11} d_\nu \psi(e_1).$$

Substituting equation (3.9) and (3.7) into (3.8) yields

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \frac{-1}{u} [\langle V, e_1 \rangle d_V \psi(\nabla_{e_1} V) + u \gamma' \langle V, e_1 \rangle d_\nu \psi(e_1) + k \phi' \psi] \\ &\quad + \sigma_k^{ii} h_{ii}^2 + [(\gamma')^2 + \gamma''] \langle V, e_1 \rangle^2 \sigma_k^{11} + \gamma' \phi' \sigma_k^{ii} - ku \gamma' \psi \\ &= \frac{-1}{u} [\langle V, e_1 \rangle d_V \psi(\nabla_{e_1} V) + k \phi' \psi] + \sigma_k^{ii} h_{ii}^2 \\ &\quad + [(\gamma')^2 + \gamma''] \langle V, e_1 \rangle^2 \sigma_k^{11} + \gamma' \phi' \sum \sigma_k^{ii} - u \gamma' \psi - \gamma' \langle V, e_1 \rangle d_\nu \psi(e_1). \end{aligned}$$

Our assumption (3.4) is equivalent to

$$(3.11) \quad k \phi^{k-1} \phi' \psi + \phi^k \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \psi(V, \nu) \leq 0,$$

or

$$(3.12) \quad k \phi' \psi + d_V \psi(V, \nu) \leq 0.$$

Since at z_0 , $V = \langle V, e_1 \rangle e_1 + \langle V, \nu \rangle \nu$

$$(3.13) \quad d_V \psi(V, \nu) = \langle V, e_1 \rangle d_V \psi(\nabla_{e_1} V) + \langle V, \nu \rangle d_V \psi(\nabla_\nu V).$$

Therefore,

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \sigma_k^{ii} h_{ii}^2 + [(\gamma')^2 + \gamma''] \langle V, e_1 \rangle^2 \sigma_k^{11} + \gamma' \phi' \sum \sigma_k^{ii} \\ &\quad - u \gamma' \psi - \gamma' \langle V, e_1 \rangle d_\nu \psi(e_1) + d_V \psi(\nabla_\nu V) \end{aligned}$$

Now let $\gamma(t) = \frac{\alpha}{t}$, where $\alpha > 0$ is sufficiently large. Since $h_{11} \leq 0$ at z_0 , and $\sum \sigma_k^{ii} = (n - k + 1) \sigma_{k-1}$, we have that

$$(3.15) \quad \sigma_k^{11} = \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|\kappa_1) \geq \sigma_{k-1} \geq \sigma_k^{\frac{k-1}{k}} = \psi^{\frac{k-1}{k}}.$$

Therefore

$$(3.16) \quad [(\gamma')^2 + \gamma''] \langle V, e_1 \rangle^2 \sigma_k^{11} + \sigma_k^{ii} h_{ii}^2 + \gamma' \phi' \sum \sigma_k^{ii} \geq C \alpha^2 \sigma_k^{11},$$

for some C depending on $|\rho|_{C^0}$.

We conclude that

$$(3.17) \quad 0 \geq C \alpha^2 \psi^{\frac{k-1}{k}} - \alpha |V| |d_\nu \psi(e_1)| - |d_V \psi(\nabla_\nu V)|,$$

which leads to a contradiction when α is large. Therefore at z_0 we have $u = |V|$, which completes the proof. \square

By a standard continuity argument (see [3]), we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose $\psi \in C^2(\bar{B}_{r_2} \setminus B_{r_1} \times \mathbb{S}^n)$ satisfies conditions (3.2), (3.3), and (3.4). Then there exists a unique $C^{3,\alpha}$ starshaped solution \mathcal{M} satisfying equation (2.8).*

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Andrews, *Contraction of convex hypersurfaces in Euclidean space*, Calc. Var. PDE **2** (1994), 151–171.
- [2] J. L. M. Barbosa, J. H. S. Lira and V. I. Oliker, *A priori estimates for starshaped compact hypersurfaces with prescribed m th curvature function in space forms*, Nonlinear Problems of Mathematical Physics and Related Topics I,(2002), 35–52.
- [3] L. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg and J. Spruck, *Nonlinear second order elliptic equations IV: Starshaped compact Weingarten hypersurfaces*, Current topics in partial differential equations, Y.Ohya, K.Kasahara and N.Shimakura (eds), Kinokunize, Tokyo, (1985), 1–26.
- [4] C. Gerhardt, *Closed Weingarten hypersurfaces in Riemannian manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **43** (1996), 612–641.
- [5] P. Guan and J. Li, *A mean curvature flow in space form*, International Mathematics Research Notices
- [6] P. Guan, C.S. Lin and X. Ma, *The Existence of Convex Body with Prescribed Curvature Measures*, International Mathematics Research Notices, **2009**, (2009), 1947–1975.
- [7] P. Guan, C. Ren and Z. Wang, *Global C^2 estimates for convex solutions of curvature equations*, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, (2014) preprint.
- [8] Q. Jin and Y. LI, *Starshaped compact hyper surfaces with prescribed k th mean curvature in hyperbolic space*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems **15** (2005), 367–377.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, BALTIMORE, MD, 21218
E-mail address: js@math.jhu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, PISCATAWAY, NJ, 08854
E-mail address: lx70@math.rutgers.edu