

# Real Variables: Solutions to Homework 2

September 18, 2011

**Exercise 0.1.** Chapter 2, # 1: Let  $f(x) = x \sin(1/x)$  for  $x \in (0, 1]$  and  $f(0) = 0$ . Show that  $f$  is bounded and continuous on  $[0, 1]$  but  $V[f; 0, 1] = +\infty$ .

*Proof.* To see that  $f$  is bounded it is enough to realize that  $|\sin(x)| \leq 1$  for  $x \in [0, 1]$ , so

$$|f(x)| = |x \sin(1/x)| \leq 1.$$

To see that  $f$  is continuous, because it is a product of continuous functions on the interval  $(0, 1]$ , it is sufficient to consider the limit as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  of  $f(\delta)$  is  $f(0)$ :

$$0 \leq \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} f(\delta) \leq \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} |f(\delta)| = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} |\delta \sin(1/\delta)| \leq \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \delta = 0.$$

So we have that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} f(\delta) = 0 = f(0).$$

To see that  $f$  is not of bounded variation we will in fact prove something much more general:

**Theorem 0.2.** Take  $a, b > 0$ , then define function  $f$

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^a \sin(x^{-b}) & x \in (0, 1] \\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases}.$$

$f$  is of bounded variation only if  $a > b$ .

*Proof.* Consider the partition defined by  $\Gamma := \{x_n\} = \left\{ \left( n\pi + \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^{-1/b} \right\}$ . The motivation for defining such a quantity is

$$\sin(x_n^{-b}) = \begin{cases} 1 & n \text{ even} \\ -1 & n \text{ odd} \end{cases},$$

so

$$f(x_n) = \begin{cases} x_n^a & n \text{ even} \\ -x_n^a & n \text{ odd} \end{cases}.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^m |f(x_n) - f(x_{n-1})| &= \sum_{n=1}^m |(-1)^n [x_n^a + x_{n-1}^a]| = \sum_{n=1}^m [x_n^a + x_{n-1}^a] \\ &= 2 \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} x_n^a + x_m + x_0 \geq \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} x_n^a = \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \left( n\pi + \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^{-a/b}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we see that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \left( n\pi + \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^{-a/b} < \infty \iff a > b.$$

□

In our particular example we have  $a = b$  and therefore we immediately know that  $V[f; 0, 1] = +\infty$ . □

**Exercise 0.3.** Chapter 2, # 4: Let  $\{f_k\}$  be a sequence of functions of bounded variation on  $[a, b]$ . If  $V[f_k; a, b] \leq M < +\infty$  for all  $k$  and  $f_k \rightarrow f$  point wise on  $[a, b]$ , show that  $f$  is of bounded variation and that  $V[f; a, b] \leq M$ . Give an example of a convergent series of functions of bounded variation whose limit is not of bounded variation.

*Proof.* Begin by fixing a partition  $\Gamma = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^k$  of the interval  $[a, b]$ . We know

$$V[f_n; a, b] = \sup_{\Gamma} \sum_{i=1}^k |f_n(x_i) - f_n(x_{i-1})| \leq M$$

for all  $n$ . Furthermore, because  $f_k \rightarrow f$  pointwise,

$$V[f; a, b] = \sup_{\Gamma} \sum_{i=1}^k |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})| = \sup_{\Gamma} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^k |f_n(x_i) - f_n(x_{i-1})| \leq M.$$

□

As for the example of a convergent series of functions of bounded variation whose limit is not of bounded variation, taking a hint from problem 1, consider a function

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} x^a \sin(x^{-b}) & x \in [\frac{1}{n\pi}, 1] \\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases}.$$

with  $a \leq b$ . For any given  $n$ ,  $f_n$  is of bounded variation but

$$f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \begin{cases} x^a \sin(x^{-b}) & x \in (0, 1] \\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases}.$$

we have show to not be of bounded variation.

**Exercise 0.4.** Chapter 2, # 5: Suppose that  $f$  is finite on  $[a, b]$  and is of bounded variation on every interval  $[a + \epsilon, b]$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ , with  $V[f; a + \epsilon, b] \leq M < +\infty$ . Show that  $V[f; a, b] < +\infty$ . Is  $V[f; a, b] \leq M$ ? If not what additional assumptions will make it so?

*Proof.* We know that  $V[f; a + \epsilon, b] \leq M$  with  $\epsilon > 0$  varying between 0 and  $b - a$ . By definition of variation,

$$|f(b) - f(a + \epsilon)| \leq V[f; a + \epsilon, b] \leq M \text{ for all } \epsilon \in (0, b - a].$$

We can rearrange this to say

$$\sup_{x \in (a,b]} |f(x)| \leq |f(b)| + M.$$

Now fix a partition  $\Gamma = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^k$  of the interval  $[a = x_0, b = x_k]$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})| &\leq |f(x_1) - f(x_0)| + V[f; x_1, x_k] \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in (a,b]} |f(x)| + |f(a)| + M \\ &= |f(b)| + M + |f(a)| + M. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have shown that

$$V[f; a, b] = \sup_{\Gamma} \sum_{i=1}^k |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})| \leq |f(b)| + |f(a)| + 2M.$$

It is clear from this that  $V[f; a, b]$  is *not always* bounded by  $M$ . We claim that in order for this to be true one thing need to happen,  $f$  needs to be a continuous function at  $a$  to insure that there is no jump at  $f(a)$  which would break the  $M$ -bound. To see that this does it, again fix a partition  $\Gamma = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^k$  of the interval  $[a = x_0, b = x_k]$ . Pick some  $x_\ell \in [x_0, x_1]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})| &\leq |f(x_\ell) - f(x_0)| + |f(x_1) - f(x_\ell)| + \sum_{i=2}^k |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})| \\ &\leq |f(x_\ell) - f(x_0)| + |f(x_1) - f(x_\ell)| + V[f; x_\ell, x_k] \\ &\leq |f(x_\ell) - f(x_0)| + V[f; x_\ell, x_k] \\ &\leq |f(x_\ell) - f(x_0)| + M. \end{aligned}$$

Now, taking the limit as  $\ell \rightarrow 0$ , because  $f$  is continuous at  $a$ , we see

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow 0} |f(x_\ell) - f(x_0)| + M = M.$$

Taking the supremum we find:

$$V[f; a, b] = \sup_{\Gamma} \sum_{i=1}^k |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})| \leq M,$$

and we are done. □

**Exercise 0.5.** Chapter 2, # 6: Let  $f(x) = x^2 \sin(1/x)$  for  $x \in (0, 1]$  and  $f(0) = 0$ . Show that  $V[f, 0, 1] < +\infty$ .

*Proof.*  $f$  is differentiable on  $[0, 1]$  of bounded derivative. Then by Exercise 5,  $V(f) < +\infty$  □

**Exercise 0.6.** Chapter 2, # 7: Suppose that  $f$  is of bounded variation on  $[a, b]$ . If  $f$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$ , show that  $V(x)$ ,  $N(x)$  and  $P(x)$  are continuous on  $[a, b]$ .

*Proof.* First note that it is sufficient to prove that if  $f$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$  then so too is  $V$  because by Theorem 2.6 in the text,

$$P = \frac{1}{2} [V + f(b) - f(a)] \text{ and } N = \frac{1}{2} [V - f(b) + f(a)]$$

so if  $V$  is continuous, by this decomposition so are  $P$  and  $N$ .

To see that  $f$  continuous implies  $V$  continuous consider  $c \in [a, b]$ . We need a notation for left and right hand limits, denote the limit from above as  $\lim_{x \rightarrow c^+} V[f; x, b]$  with  $x \in (c, b]$  and the limit from below as  $\lim_{y \rightarrow c^-} V[f; y, b]$  with  $y \in [a, c)$ . First let us work with limits from the right hand side. We see pretty trivially that

$$V[f; c, b] \geq \lim_{x \rightarrow c^+} V[f; x, b].$$

In fact, we can say something even stronger by directly applying the result from Exercise 0.4 (Chapter 2, # 5). Since

$$V[f; x, b] \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow c^+} V[f; x, b].$$

we find that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c^+} V[f; x, b] \geq V[f; c, b]$$

and therefore, combining this with our earlier result gives

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c^+} V[f; x, b] = V[f; c, b].$$

Now, to show that  $V$  is continuous from the right, we need to show that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c^+} V[f; a, x] = V[f; a, c].$$

We go on to calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow c^+} V[f; a, x] &= V[f; a, b] - V[f; a, x] \\ &= V[f; a, b] - V[f; a, c] \\ &= V[f; a, c]. \end{aligned}$$

$V$  is continuous from the right. To see that  $V$  is continuous from the left we need to show that

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow c^-} V[f; y, b] = V[f; c, b].$$

This follows from the argument for right hand continuity if one defines a function  $g = f(b - y)$  and notices that

$$V[f; y, b] = V[g; 0, b - y].$$

□

**Exercise 0.7.** Chapter 2, # 9: Let  $C$  be a curve with parametric equations  $x = \phi(t)$  and  $y = \psi(t)$  for  $t \in [a, b]$ .

1. If  $\phi, \psi$  are of bounded variation and continuous, show that  $L = \lim_{|\Gamma| \rightarrow 0} \ell(\Gamma)$ .

*Proof.* To phrase this somewhat differently, given an  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|L - \ell(\Gamma)| < \epsilon$  for all  $\Gamma$  satisfying  $|\Gamma| := \max_i(x_i - x_{i-1}) < \delta$ . Since  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are of bounded variation,  $L$  is finite. Fix a partition  $\Gamma_0 = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^k$  of the interval  $[a, b]$  such that  $\ell(\Gamma_0) > L - \epsilon$ . Furthermore, since  $\phi, \psi$  are continuous on the interval, we can find  $\delta, \delta' > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |x - x'| < \delta &\implies |\phi(x) - \phi(x')| < \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon}}{2k} \\ |x - x'| < \delta &\implies |\psi(x) - \psi(x')| < \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon}}{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Then for any  $\Gamma$  satisfying  $|\Gamma| := \max_i(x_i - x_{i-1}) < \delta$ , let  $\Gamma' = \Gamma \cup \Gamma_0$ , then clearly  $\ell(\Gamma') > L - \epsilon$ . And by triangle inequality

$$|L - \ell(\Gamma)| \leq |L - \ell(\Gamma')| + |\ell(\Gamma') - \ell(\Gamma)| \leq \epsilon + 2\epsilon.$$

□

2. If  $\phi, \psi$  are continuously differentiable, show that  $L = \int_a^b \sqrt{\phi'(t)^2 + \psi'(t)^2} dt$ .

*Proof.* We have that  $\phi, \psi \in C^1([a, b])$ . That is, their derivatives and they themselves are continuous on the interval  $[a, b]$ . Fix a partition  $\Gamma = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^k$  of the interval  $[a, b]$  then applying part 1 of this exercise we find

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \lim_{|\Gamma| \rightarrow 0} \ell(\Gamma) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \left( [\phi(x_i) - \phi(x_{i-1})]^2 + [\psi(x_i) - \psi(x_{i-1})]^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \int_a^b \sqrt{\phi'(x)^2 + \psi'(x)^2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Exercise 0.8.** Chapter 2, # 10: If  $a \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots < \lambda_n \leq b$  is a finite sequence and  $|s| < \infty$ , write  $\sum_k a_k e^{-s\lambda_k}$  as a Riemann-Stieltjes integral.

*Proof.* Let  $\phi(x)$  be a step function. We construct it completely analogously to the text (remark # 3 pg. 23): Let  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots < \lambda_n$ ,

$$\phi(\lambda_i \pm) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \lambda_i \pm} \phi(x) \quad \text{and} \quad a_i = \phi(\lambda_i+) - \phi(\lambda_i-)$$

Then choose  $f = e^{-sx}$ , so

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i e^{-s\lambda_i} = \int_a^b e^{-sx} d\phi.$$

□

**Exercise 0.9.** Chapter 2, # 14: Give an example which shows that for  $c \in (a, b)$ ,  $\int_a^c f d\phi$  and  $\int_c^b f d\phi$  may both exist but  $\int_a^b f d\phi$  may not.

*Proof.* Take functions  $f, \phi$  to be

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in [0, 1] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in (0, 1] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In this case, both

$$\int_{-1}^0 f d\phi \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^1 f d\phi$$

exist but

$$\int_{-1}^1 f d\phi$$

does not exist. The reasoning for this is on pg. 29 of the text.

□

## References