

Pushouts and Adjunction Spaces

This note augments material in Hatcher, Chapter 0.

Pushouts Given maps $i: A \rightarrow X$ and $f: A \rightarrow B$, we wish to complete the commutative square (a) in a canonical way.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & X \xrightarrow{g} Y & \\
 \text{(a)} \quad \uparrow i & & \uparrow j \\
 A & \xrightarrow{f} B & \\
 & & \\
 & X \xrightarrow{g} Y & \\
 \uparrow i & & \uparrow j \\
 A & \xrightarrow{f} B & \\
 & & \nearrow h \\
 & & \nearrow m \\
 & & \nearrow k \\
 & & Z
 \end{array}
 \tag{1}$$

DEFINITION 2 We call (1)(a) a *pushout square* if it commutes, $j \circ f = g \circ i$, and is *universal*, in the sense that given *any* space Z and maps $h: X \rightarrow Z$ and $k: B \rightarrow Z$ such that $k \circ f = h \circ i$, there exists a unique map $m: Y \rightarrow Z$ that makes diagram (1)(b) commute, $m \circ g = h$ and $m \circ j = k$. We then call Y a *pushout* of i and f .

The good news is that uniqueness of pushouts is automatic.

PROPOSITION 3 *Given any maps i and f as in (1)(a), the pushout space Y is unique up to canonical homeomorphism.*

Proof Suppose Y' , with maps $g': X \rightarrow Y'$ and $j': B \rightarrow Y'$, is another pushout. Take $Z = Y'$; we find a map $m: Y \rightarrow Y'$ such that $m \circ g = g'$ and $m \circ j = j'$. By reversing the roles of Y and Y' , we find $m': Y' \rightarrow Y$ such that $m' \circ g' = g$ and $m' \circ j' = j$. Then $m' \circ m \circ g = m' \circ g' = g$, and similarly $m' \circ m \circ j = j$. Now take $Z = Y$, $h = g$, and $k = j$. We have two maps, $m' \circ m: Y \rightarrow Y$ and $\text{id}_Y: Y \rightarrow Y$, that make diagram (1)(b) commute; by the uniqueness in Definition 2, $m' \circ m = \text{id}_Y$. Similarly, $m \circ m' = \text{id}_{Y'}$, so that m and m' are inverse homeomorphisms. \square

However, existence is *not* automatic; pushouts must be constructed.

PROPOSITION 4 *Let $i: A \rightarrow X$ and $f: A \rightarrow B$ be any maps. Then there exists a pushout Y as in Definition 2.*

Proof Let \sim be the smallest equivalence relation on the topological disjoint union $X \amalg B$ that satisfies $i(a) \sim f(a)$ for all $a \in A$. [It is the intersection of all equivalence relations on $X \amalg B$ that have this property.] We take Y as the quotient space $(X \amalg B) / \sim$, with quotient map $q: X \amalg B \rightarrow Y$, and set $g = q|_X$ and $j = q|_B$.

We have commutativity, since for any $a \in A$, $g(i(a)) = q(i(a)) = q(f(a)) = j(f(a))$. Given h and k as in diagram (1)(b), we define $\tilde{m}: X \amalg B \rightarrow Z$ by $\tilde{m}|_X = h$ and $\tilde{m}|_B = k$. Then for any $a \in A$, $\tilde{m}(i(a)) = h(i(a)) = k(f(a)) = \tilde{m}(f(a))$. It follows that \tilde{m} is constant on each equivalence class and hence factors through the map $q: X \amalg B \rightarrow Y$ to yield the desired map $m: Y \rightarrow Z$. Further, m is unique because it is required to satisfy $m \circ q = \tilde{m}$, with \tilde{m} defined as above. \square

COROLLARY 5 *A subset $V \subset Y$ is open (resp. closed) if and only if $g^{-1}(V)$ is open (resp. closed) in X and $j^{-1}(V)$ is open (resp. closed) in B . \square*

We can stack pushout squares. The proof depends *only* on the universal property in Definition 2 and is omitted. (Try it!)

PROPOSITION 6 Suppose given a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & Z \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C \end{array}$$

in which $ABXY$ is a pushout square. Then $ACXZ$ is a pushout square if and only if $BCYZ$ is a pushout square. \square

Remark The third possible implication fails: if $ACXZ$ and $BCYZ$ are pushout squares, $ABXY$ need not be one. For a simple example, take $A = X = Y = C = Z$ to be a point, and B any space with more than one point.

We can also take products.

PROPOSITION 7 If diagram (1)(a) is a pushout square and W is a locally compact space, then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times W & \xrightarrow{g \times \text{id}} & Y \times W \\ \uparrow i \times \text{id} & & \uparrow j \times \text{id} \\ A \times W & \xrightarrow{f \times \text{id}} & B \times W \end{array}$$

is another pushout square.

Proof This follows from the standard (but non-trivial) topological result that if $q: X \amalg B \rightarrow Y$ is a quotient map, so is $q \times \text{id}_W: (X \amalg B) \times W \rightarrow Y \times W$. [This statement is false without some condition on W .] \square

COROLLARY 8 “The pushout of homotopies is a homotopy.” Given the pushout square (1)(a) and homotopies $h_t: X \rightarrow Z$ and $k_t: B \rightarrow Z$ such that $h_t \circ i = k_t \circ f$ for all t , define $m_t: Y \rightarrow Z$ by $m_t \circ g = h_t$ and $m_t \circ j = k_t$; then m_t is a homotopy.

Proof We take $W = I$ in Proposition 7. \square

Adjunction spaces The bad news about pushouts is that Y , being a quotient space, is in general poorly behaved. Even if A , B and X are very nice spaces, Y need not even be Hausdorff. In the construction, it is far from clear what the equivalence classes in $X \amalg B$ are, or what the points of Y really are.

We shall say no more at this level of generality. From now on, we limit attention to the following special case.

PROPOSITION 9 In the pushout square (1)(a), if i is a closed embedding, so is j .

Proof Under this hypothesis, it becomes clear what the equivalence classes in $X \amalg B$ are: they are the singletons $\{x\}$ for each $x \in X - A$, and the sets $i(f^{-1}(b)) \amalg \{b\}$ for

each $b \in B$. Thus as a set, Y is the disjoint union of $X - A$ and B ; in particular, j is injective. However, the topology on Y is not the disjoint union topology.

Recall that to prove j is a closed embedding, it is only necessary to show that $j(F)$ is closed in Y whenever F is closed in B . Because j is injective, $j^{-1}(j(F)) = F$ and $g^{-1}(j(F)) = i(f^{-1}(F))$. By Corollary 5, $j(F)$ is closed. \square

Henceforth, we simplify notation by assuming that A and B actually are closed subspaces of X and Y , and we (usually) suppress i and j . Commutativity is simply expressed by $g|_A = f$, and we have a map of pairs $g: (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$. Informally, we obtain Y from B by *gluing* X to B along the subspace A of X as directed by the map f ; we identify each point $a \in A$ with its image $f(a) \in B$.

DEFINITION 10 When $A \subset X$ is a closed subspace, we call Y an *adjunction space* and $f: A \rightarrow B$ the *attaching map*. We write $Y = B \cup_f X$ (or $B \sqcup_f X$ in Hatcher).

Example If the subspaces A and B of a space X are both open or both closed, then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\subset} & A \cup B \\ \uparrow \cup & & \uparrow \cup \\ A \cap B & \xrightarrow{\subset} & B \end{array}$$

is a pushout square. This is simply a restatement of the standard result that given a function $f: A \cup B \rightarrow Y$, if $f|_A$ and $f|_B$ are continuous, then f itself is continuous.

In general (though not always), j inherits properties from i and g from f .

PROPOSITION 11 Assume diagram (1)(a) is an adjunction square with $A \subset X$ a closed subspace. Then:

- (a) If $A = X$, then $B = Y$;
- (b) $g|(X - A): X - A \rightarrow Y - B$ is a homeomorphism;
- (c) If B and X are T_1 spaces, so is Y ;
- (d) If B and X are normal spaces, so is Y ;
- (e) If F is a closed subspace of X with $F \cap A = \emptyset$, then $g|_F: F \rightarrow Y$ is a closed embedding;
- (f) If A is a retract of X , then B is a retract of Y ;
- (g) If (X, A) satisfies the homotopy extension property, so does (Y, B) ;
- (h) If A is a deformation retract of X , then B is a deformation retract of Y .

Proof By now, (a) is trivial.

In (b), the map is obviously a continuous bijection. To see that it is an open map, take an open set V in $X - A$; then $g(V) \cap B = \emptyset$ and $g^{-1}(g(V)) = V$ show that $g(V)$ is open. Similarly for (e).

For (c), the equivalence classes in $X \amalg B$ in Proposition 9 are obviously closed.

For (d), it is convenient to understand “normal” as *not* implying T_1 ; then the Tietze Extension Theorem can be restated as: Y is normal if and only if any map $u: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ from a closed subspace G of Y extends to a map $v: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Given u , because B is normal, the map $u|(B \cap G)$ extends to a map $v_B: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Now we put $F = g^{-1}(G)$ and work in X . The two maps $v_B \circ f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $u \circ (g|F): F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ agree on $A \cap F$ and so define a map $A \cup F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Because X is normal, this extends to a map $v_X: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Since $v_X|A = v_B \circ f$, we find a map $v: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies $v \circ g = v_X$ and $v|B = v_B$. By construction, v extends u .

In (f), suppose $r: X \rightarrow A$ is a retraction, so that $r|A = \text{id}_A$. We define the retraction $s: Y \rightarrow B$ by $s \circ g = f \circ r$ and $s|B = \text{id}_B$.

In (g), suppose given a homotopy $k_t: B \rightarrow Z$ and a map $m_0: Y \rightarrow Z$ such that $m_0|B = k_0$. We have a homotopy $k_t \circ f: A \rightarrow Z$ and a map $m_0 \circ g: X \rightarrow Z$ such that $(m_0 \circ g)|A = m_0 \circ g \circ i = m_0 \circ j \circ f = k_0 \circ f$; by the HEP for (X, A) , there is a homotopy $h_t: X \rightarrow Z$ such that $h_0 = m_0 \circ g$ and $h_t|A = k_t \circ f$. For each t , define $m_t: Y \rightarrow Z$ by $m_t \circ g = h_t$ and $m_t|B = k_t$. By Corollary 8, this is the desired homotopy.

In (h), let $d_t: X \rightarrow X$ be a deformation retraction, so that $d_t|A = i$, $d_0 = \text{id}_X$, and $d_1 = r$. We use the homotopy $h_t = g \circ d_t: X \rightarrow Y$ and the constant homotopy $k_t = j: B \rightarrow Y$ to construct $m_t: Y \rightarrow Y$, which is a homotopy by Corollary 8. We see that by uniqueness, $m_0 = \text{id}_Y$ and $m_1 = s$, the retraction in (f). \square

Examples of adjunction spaces

1. The **quotient space** X/A is obtained by taking B to be a one-point space. As a set, its points are those of $X - A$ together with one point corresponding to A .

2. The **wedge** $X \vee Y$ of two spaces X and Y with basepoints x_0 and y_0 is the quotient space $(X \amalg Y)/\{x_0, y_0\}$ obtained from the disjoint union $X \amalg Y$ by identifying the two basepoints. (It is often defined as the subspace $X \times y_0 \cup x_0 \times Y$ of $X \times Y$; it is easy to construct homeomorphisms between these two definitions.)

More generally, one can form the wedge $\bigvee_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}$ of any collection of based spaces (X_{α}, x_{α}) as the quotient space $(\coprod_{\alpha} X_{\alpha})/(\coprod_{\alpha} x_{\alpha})$.

3. The **cone** CX on X is the quotient $(X \times I)/(X \times 0)$. By (e), it contains a copy of X as the image of $X \times 1$.

4. The **suspension** SX of X is the pushout of $X \times \partial I \subset X \times I$ and the projection $X \times \partial I \rightarrow \partial I = \{0, 1\}$. It contains a copy of X as the image of $X \times (1/2)$.

5. The **mapping cylinder** M_f of $f: A \rightarrow B$ is obtained by taking $X = A \times I$, with inclusion $A \cong A \times 1 \subset A \times I$. By (h), B is a deformation retract of M_f . Also, by (e), M_f contains a copy of A as the image of $A \times 0$, as well as B .

6. The **mapping cone** C_f of $f: A \rightarrow B$ is obtained by taking $X = CA$, with the inclusion $A \subset CA$, or equivalently as M_f/A (with the help of Proposition 6).

7. If we take $X = D^n$, the closed unit n -disk in \mathbb{R}^n , and $A = S^{n-1}$, its boundary sphere, the resulting space $Y = B \cup_f D^n$, commonly written $Y = B \cup_f e^n$, is said to be obtained from B by **attaching an n -cell**, using the *attaching map* $f: S^{n-1} \rightarrow B$. Then $g: (D^n, S^{n-1}) \rightarrow (Y, B)$ is called the *characteristic map* of the n -cell.

One can attach *many* n -cells by taking $X = \coprod_{\alpha} D_{\alpha}^n$ and $A = \coprod_{\alpha} S_{\alpha}^{n-1}$, using attaching maps $f_{\alpha}: S_{\alpha}^{n-1} \rightarrow B$, where each D_{α}^n is a copy of D^n , with boundary S_{α}^{n-1} .

8. The **smash product** $X \wedge Y$ is the quotient space $(X \times Y)/(X \vee Y)$.

9. The **join** $X * Y$ is the pushout of $X \times \partial I \times Y \subset X \times I \times Y$ and the map $X \times \partial I \times Y \rightarrow X \amalg Y$ formed from the projections $X \times 0 \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $X \times 1 \times Y \rightarrow Y$.