

# AS.110.653 - Assignment 5

George A. Kevrekidis

October 6, 2021

## Problem 1

Consider the SDE with smooth bounded  $a, b$ :

$$dX_t = a(X_t) dt + b(X_t) dW_t \quad (1)$$

Derive the strong order 1.5 Itô-Taylor scheme (ref. the class notes or Kloeden+Platen Chapter 10). [Hint: you will need to use the multiple integrals, paying attention to their relations:]

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_0^h dW_s \\ I_{01} &= \int_0^h \int_0^s dW_{s_1} ds \\ I_{11} &= \int_0^h \int_0^s dW_{s_1} dW_s \\ I_{111} &= \int_0^h \int_0^s \int_0^r dW_u dW_r dW_s \end{aligned}$$

## Solution

We follow the process described in the notes:

After applying the Itô-Taylor expansion to the integral form of Equation (1) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} X_t &= X_0 + a(X_0)t + b(X_0) \underbrace{(W_t - W_0)}_{I_1} + [b'b](X_0) \underbrace{\int_0^t \int_0^s dW_r dW_s}_{I_{11}} \\ &\quad + [b'a + \frac{1}{2}b''b^2](X_0) \underbrace{\int_0^t s dW_s}_{I_{01}} + [a'b](X_0) \underbrace{\int_0^t (W_s - W_0) ds}_{I_{10}} \\ &\quad + [(b'b)'b](X_0) \underbrace{\int_0^t \int_0^s \int_0^r dW_u dW_r dW_s}_{I_{111}} + \left[ a'a + \frac{1}{2}a''b \right] (X_0) \frac{1}{2}t^2 + o(t^{3/2}) \end{aligned}$$

Ignoring the last term (which tends to 0) leaves us with the more compact equation

$$\begin{aligned} X_t &= X_0 + a(X_0)t + b(X_0)I_1 + [b'b](X_0)I_{11} \\ &\quad + [b'a + \frac{1}{2}b''b^2](X_0)I_{01} + [a'b](X_0)I_{10} \\ &\quad + [(b'b)'b](X_0)I_{111} + \left[ a'a + \frac{1}{2}a''b \right] (X_0) \frac{1}{2}t^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The first four terms are familiar since they are shared by the EM and Milstein schemes, and give us

$$Y_{n+1} = \underbrace{Y_n + a(Y_n)\Delta t + b(Y_n)\Delta W_n}_{\text{Euler Maruyama}} + \underbrace{[b'b(X_{t_n})\frac{1}{2}(\Delta W_n^2 - \Delta t)]}_{\text{Milstein}} + \dots \quad (3)$$

Now we simplify the remaining four terms. It is clear that for the last term

$$\left[ a'a + \frac{1}{2}a''b \right] (X_0)\frac{1}{2}t^2 \mapsto \left[ a'a + \frac{1}{2}a''b \right] (Y_n)\frac{1}{2}\Delta t^2 \quad (4)$$

The remaining terms have the stochastic integrals. Recall (ref. Example 4.1.4, pp. 45) that

$$\int_0^t s dB_s = tB_t - \int_0^t B_s ds$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{01} &= \int_0^t s dW_s \\ &= tW_t - \int_0^t W_s ds \\ &= tW_t - \Delta Z \end{aligned}$$

where, for convenience, we will define

$$\Delta Z = \int_0^t W_s ds$$

which then translates to:

$$\left[ b'a + \frac{1}{2}b''b^2 \right] (X_0)I_{01} \mapsto \left[ b'a + \frac{1}{2}b''b^2 \right] (Y_n)(\Delta t\Delta W_t - \Delta Z) \quad (5)$$

Continuing,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{10} &= \int_0^t (W_s - W_0) ds \\ &= \int_0^t (W_s) ds \\ &= \Delta Z \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\left[ a'b \right] (X_0)I_{10} \mapsto \left[ a'b \right] (Y_n)\Delta Z \quad (6)$$

Finally:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{111} &= \int_0^t \int_0^s \int_0^r dW_u dW_r dW_s \\ &= \int_0^t I_{11} dW_s \\ &= \int_0^t \frac{1}{2}((W_s - W_0)^2 - s) dW_s \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t W_s^2 dW_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t s dW_s \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{3} W_t^3 - \int_0^t W_s ds \right) - \frac{1}{2} t W_t + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t W_s ds \\ &= \frac{1}{6} W_t^3 - \frac{1}{2} t W_t \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (see Problem 4.2, pp. 55) that using the 1-d Itô formula:

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(\frac{1}{3}B_t^3\right) &= B_t dt + B_t^2 dB_t \\ &\iff \\ \frac{1}{3}B_t^3 &= \int_0^t B_s^2 dB_s + \int_0^t B_s ds \end{aligned}$$

So we have:

$$\boxed{[(b'b)'b](X_0)I_{111} \mapsto [(b'b)'b](Y_n)\left(\frac{1}{6}\Delta W_t^3 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta t\Delta W_t\right)} \quad (7)$$

combining the results (equations 3-7) allows us to write the strong 1.5 order scheme of equation (2) as

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{n+1} &= Y_n + a(Y_n)\Delta t + b(Y_n)\Delta W_n + [b'b(X_{t_n})]\frac{1}{2}(\Delta W_n^2 - \Delta t) \\ &\quad + \left[b'a + \frac{1}{2}b''b^2\right](Y_n)(\Delta t\Delta W_t - \Delta Z) + [a'b](Y_n)\Delta Z \\ &\quad + [(b'b)'b](Y_n)\left(\frac{1}{6}\Delta W_t^3 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta t\Delta W_t\right) + \left[a'a + \frac{1}{2}a''b\right](Y_n)\frac{1}{2}\Delta t^2 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Delta W_n = W_{t_{n+1}-W_{t_n}} \sim \sqrt{\Delta t}\xi_n$$

with  $\xi_n \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .

We are still left with  $\Delta Z$  (which we in principle need to simulate if we are to apply the numerical scheme): Since the quantities in

$$I_{10} = tI_1 - I_{01}$$

(ex. 4.1.4) are all gaussian, we can describe  $\Delta Z$  in terms of it's mean, variance, and correlation to  $I_1$  (which we have already sampled).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\Delta Z] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t W_s ds\right] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[I_{10}I_1] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t W_s ds W_t\right] \\ &= \int_0^t \mathbb{E}[W_s W_t] ds \\ &= \int_0^t s ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2}t^2 \\ \mathbb{E}[I_{10}^2] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t W_s ds\right)^2\right] \\ &= \int_0^t s^2 ds \\ &= \frac{t^3}{3} \end{aligned}$$

where for the first result we have used Problem 2.8, pp. 17, for the second result we have used that  $\mathbb{E}[W_s W_t] = s(= s \wedge t)$  and the third result follows by the Itô Isometry.

Thus the variance  $\mathbb{V}[I_{10}^2] = \frac{t^3}{3}$ . Since we want to keep the correlation between  $I_1$  and  $I_{10}$  when generating our samples, we will write:

$$\Delta Z_n \sim \frac{1}{2}(\Delta t)^{3/2} \left( \xi_n + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \zeta_n \right)$$

where  $\zeta_n \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  as well. One can check that the variance of the above expression is indeed:

$$\frac{(\Delta t^3)}{4} \left( \frac{4}{3} \right) = \frac{(\Delta t)^3}{3}$$

where by independence of  $\xi_n, \zeta_n$  the variances are additive, while retaining the desired correlation:

$$\mathbb{E}[\Delta_Z I_1] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\Delta t)^{3/2} (\Delta t)^{1/2} \xi_n^2 \right] = \frac{1}{2} (\Delta t)^2 = \mathbb{E}[I_{10} I_1]$$

□

## Problem 2

Consider the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck equation with  $\lambda < 0$ :

$$dX_t = \lambda X_t dt + \sigma dW_t \quad (8)$$

1. Find the range of the time step size  $\delta$  such that the Euler-Maruyama scheme

$$Y_{n+1} = Y_n + \lambda Y_n \delta + \sigma \sqrt{\delta} \xi_n; \quad Y_0 = 0$$

where  $\xi_n \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ , is stable in the sense that  $\mathbb{E}[Y_n^2] < \infty$  for all  $n$  and compute the  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[Y_n^2]$ .

2. Find the range of the time step size  $\delta$  so that the implicit Euler scheme

$$Y_{n+1} = Y_n + \lambda Y_{n+1} \delta + \sigma \sqrt{\delta} \xi_n; \quad Y_0 = 0$$

where  $\xi_n \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ , is stable in the sense that  $\mathbb{E}[Y_n^2] < \infty$  for all  $n$  and compute the  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[Y_n^2]$

## Solution

We rewrite the EM scheme as

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{n+1} &= (1 + \lambda \delta) Y_n + \sigma \sqrt{\delta} \xi_n \\ &= (1 + \lambda \delta) \left( (1 + \lambda \delta) Y_{n-1} + \sigma \sqrt{\delta} \xi_{n-1} \right) + \sigma \sqrt{\delta} \xi_n \\ &= (1 + \lambda \delta)^2 Y_{n-1} + \sigma \sqrt{\delta} (\xi_n + (1 + \lambda \delta) \xi_{n-1}) \\ &= \dots \\ &= (1 + \lambda \delta)^{n+1} Y_0 + \sigma \sqrt{\delta} \sum_{j=0}^n (1 + \lambda \delta)^j \xi_{n-j} \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\mathbb{E}[Y_n]$  is finite for all  $n$  since by linearity of expectation and the zero initial condition this will always be trivial. So we consider the second moment:

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_{n+1}^2] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( (1 + \lambda \delta)^{n+1} Y_0 + \sigma \sqrt{\delta} \sum_{j=0}^n (1 + \lambda \delta)^j \xi_{n-j} \right)^2 \right]$$

This simplifies dramatically since  $Y_0 = 0$  and from the independence of the  $\xi_j$ . We are left with:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Y_{n+1}^2] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sigma \sqrt{\delta} \sum_{j=0}^n (1 + \lambda \delta)^j \xi_{n-j} \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \sigma^2 \delta \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^n (1 + \lambda \delta)^{2j} \xi_{n-j}^2 \right] \\ &= \sigma^2 \delta \sum_{j=0}^n (1 + \lambda \delta)^{2j} \mathbb{E}[\xi_{n-j}^2] \end{aligned}$$

Then, since the variance of the  $\xi_i$  is known, we are left with a geometric series in  $(1 + \lambda \delta)^2$  which converges if and only if

$$|(1 + \lambda \delta)^2| < 1$$

or

$$-1 < (1 + \lambda\delta) < 1$$

which corresponds to

$$0 < \delta < -\frac{2}{\lambda}$$

Now, if for an appropriate choice of  $\delta$  this limit exists, we will have:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[Y_{n+1}^2] &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \sigma^2 \delta \sum_{j=0}^n (1 + \lambda\delta)^{2j} \right] \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2 \delta}{1 - (1 + \lambda\delta)^2} \end{aligned}$$

□

Now consider *Case 2*. Grouping the  $Y_{n+1}$  terms of the implicit scheme together, and then solving for them gives us the equivalent equation

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{n+1} &= (1 - \lambda\delta)^{-1} [Y_n + \sigma\sqrt{\delta}\xi_n] \\ &= (1 - \lambda\delta)^{-1} \left[ (1 - \lambda\delta)^{-1} (Y_{n-1} + \sigma\sqrt{\delta}\xi_{n-1}) + \sigma\sqrt{\delta}\xi_n \right] \\ &= \dots \\ &= (1 - \lambda\delta)^{-(n+1)} Y_0 + \sigma\sqrt{\delta} \sum_{j=0}^n (1 - \lambda\delta)^{-j-1} \xi_{n-j} \\ &= \sigma\sqrt{\delta} \sum_{j=0}^n (1 - \lambda\delta)^{-j-1} \xi_{n-j} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $Y_0 = 0$ . Again,  $\mathbb{E}[Y_n] = 0$  by linearity of expectation and the independence of the  $\xi_i$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_{n+1}^2] = \sigma^2 \delta \sum_{j=0}^n (1 - \lambda\delta)^{-2(j+1)}$$

again using the same argument as in *Case 1*, which is a geometric series in  $(1 - \lambda\delta)^{-2}$ . This time however, because  $\delta > 0, \lambda < 0$  the quantity  $(1 - \lambda\delta) > 1$  all (positive)  $\delta$ , and hence the geometric series will converge for any value of the step size. Using the closed form of the geometric series limit gives us:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[Y_{n+1}^2] = \frac{(1 - \lambda\delta)^{-2} \sigma^2 \delta}{1 - (1 - \lambda\delta)^{-2}}$$

One may alternatively show this using the recursion scheme directly, without the geometric series results.

□