

BASIC CONCEPTS IN CATEGORY THEORY

EMILY RIEHL

1. BASIC DEFINITIONS

Definition 1.1. A category \mathcal{C} consists of:

- (i) A collection of *objects* $\text{ob } \mathcal{C}$ denoted by A, B, C, \dots
- (ii) A collection of *morphisms* $\text{mor } \mathcal{C}$ denoted by f, g, h, \dots
- (iii) A rule assigning to each $f \in \text{mor } \mathcal{C}$ two objects $\text{dom } f$ and $\text{cod } f$, its *domain* and *codomain*. We write $f : \text{dom } f \rightarrow \text{cod } f$ or $\text{dom } f \xrightarrow{f} \text{cod } f$.
- (iv) For each pair (f, g) of morphisms with $\text{cod } f = \text{dom } g$ we have a composite morphism $gf : \text{dom } f \rightarrow \text{cod } g$ subject to the axiom $h(gf) = (hg)f$ whenever gf and hg are defined.
- (v) For each object A we have an identity morphism $1_A : A \rightarrow A$, subject to the axioms $1_B f = f = f 1_A$ for all $f : A \rightarrow B$.

Definition 1.2. We say a category \mathcal{C} is *small* if it has only a set of objects and a set of morphisms. We say a category \mathcal{C} is *locally small* if for any two objects A, B of \mathcal{C} the collection of all morphisms $A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{C} is a set. We denote this set by $\mathcal{C}(A, B)$.

Given a category \mathcal{C} , its opposite category \mathcal{C}^{op} has the same objects but with the domain and codomain operations interchanged (and thus composition is reversed). Hence, when we prove a theorem in category theory, we simultaneously prove a “dual theorem,” obtained by replacing all the categories involved with their opposites.

Definition 1.3. Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be categories. A *functor* $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ consists of

- (i) a mapping $A \mapsto FA : \text{ob } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{ob } \mathcal{D}$
- (ii) a mapping $f \mapsto Ff : \text{mor } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{mor } \mathcal{D}$

such that $\text{dom } Ff = F(\text{dom } f)$, $\text{cod } Ff = F(\text{cod } f)$, $F(1_A) = 1_{FA}$, and $F(gf) = (Fg)(Ff)$ whenever gf is defined in \mathcal{C} .

Definition 1.4. Let \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} be two categories and $F, G : \mathcal{C} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{D}$ two functors. A *natural transformation* $\alpha : F \rightarrow G$ consists of a mapping $A \mapsto \alpha_A : \text{ob } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{mor } \mathcal{D}$ such that $\alpha_A : FA \rightarrow GA$ for all A and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{\alpha_A} & GA \\ Ff \downarrow & & \downarrow Gf \\ FB & \xrightarrow{\alpha_B} & GB \end{array}$$

commutes for any $f : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{C} .

Note that, given another functor H and another transformation $\beta : G \rightarrow H$ we can form the composite $\beta\alpha$ defined by $(\beta\alpha)_A = \beta_A\alpha_A$. The composition is associative and has identities so we have a category $[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}]$ of functors $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and natural transformations between them.

Definition 1.5. We say a morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a *monomorphism* if $fg = fh \Rightarrow g = h$ for all $g, h : C \rightarrow A$. Dually, f is an *epimorphism* if $kf = \ell f \Rightarrow k = \ell$ for all $k, \ell : B \rightarrow C$.

This handout was produced for the Warm-up Program (inexplicably abbreviated as “WOMP”) for incoming graduate students at the University of Chicago. It is based off lectures by Peter Johnstone in his Part III course in Category theory at Cambridge in 2006. Some of the original LaTeX is due to Inna Zakharevich.

2. LIMITS AND COLIMITS

Definition 2.1. Let J be a category (almost always small or finite). By a *diagram of shape J* we mean a functor $D : J \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. The objects $D(j)$ for $j \in \text{ob } J$ are called *vertices* of D and the morphisms $D(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in \text{mor } J$ are called *edges* of D .

For any object A of \mathcal{C} and any J we have a *constant diagram* ΔA of shape J all of whose vertices are A and all of whose edges are 1_A . By a *cone over $D : J \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ with summit A* we mean a natural transformation $\lambda : \Delta A \rightarrow D$. Equivalently, this is a family $(\lambda_j : A \rightarrow D(j) \mid j \in \text{ob } J)$ of morphisms (the *legs* of the cone) such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & A & \\ \lambda_j \swarrow & & \searrow \lambda_{j'} \\ D(j) & \xrightarrow{D(\alpha)} & D(j') \end{array}$$

commutes for any $\alpha : j \rightarrow j'$ in J . Note that Δ is a functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow [J, \mathcal{C}]$.

Definition 2.2. A *limit* of a diagram $D : J \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a cone (A, λ) that is universal among cones over D . That is, given another cone (B, δ) over D , there is a unique morphism $f : B \rightarrow A$ such that $\delta_j = \lambda_j f$ for each $j \in J$.

The dual notion is a *colimit*. A cone under $D : J \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ with summit A is a natural transformation $\lambda : D \rightarrow \Delta A$. A *colimit* of D is a cone (A, λ) under D that is universal among cones under D . That is, given another cone (B, δ) under D , there is a unique morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ such that $\delta_j = f \lambda_j$ for each $j \in J$.

Lemma 2.3. *Limits and colimits, when they exist, are unique up to unique isomorphism. That is, given two limits (A, λ) and (A', λ') of a diagram D , there exists unique isomorphisms $f : A \rightarrow A'$ and $g : A' \rightarrow A$ that commute with the legs of the cones.*

Definition 2.4. A *limit* of a diagram $D : J \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a cone (A, λ) that is universal among cones over D . That is, given another cone (B, δ) over D , there is a unique morphism $f : B \rightarrow A$ such that $\delta_j = \lambda_j f$ for each $j \in J$.

Definition 2.5. We say that \mathcal{C} has *limits* of shape J if every diagram $D : J \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ has a limit. This is equivalent to saying that the functor $\Delta : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow [J, \mathcal{C}]$ has a right adjoint (see Section 4).

Examples 2.6.

- (a) If $J = \emptyset$ then $[J, \mathcal{C}]$ has a unique object and the category of cones over it is isomorphic to \mathcal{C} . So a limit for this diagram is a *terminal object* $*$ of \mathcal{C} , i.e. one such that there is a unique morphism $A \rightarrow *$ for all A . A colimit for it is called an *initial object*.
- (b) If J is a discrete category, a diagram of shape J is just a family of objects of \mathcal{C} , and a cone over it is a family of morphisms $(\lambda_j : A \rightarrow D(j) \mid j \in \text{ob } J)$. A limit for it is a *product* $\prod_{j \in \text{ob } J} D(j)$. Similarly a colimit for this diagram is a *coproduct* $\sum_{j \in \text{ob } J} D(j)$.

- (c) Let J be the finite category $\cdot \rightrightarrows \cdot$ (so a diagram of shape J is a parallel pair $A \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{array} B$). A cone over such a diagram is of the form $A \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{h} \\ \xrightarrow{k} \end{array} C \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{k} \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{array} B$ such that $fh = k = gh$, or equivalently a morphism $h : C \rightarrow A$ satisfying $fh = gh$. A limit for the diagram is called an *equalizer* for (f, g) (and a colimit for it is a *coequalizer* for (f, g)).

- (d) Let J be the finite category $\cdot \longleftarrow \cdot \longrightarrow \cdot$. Then a diagram of shape J is a pair of morphisms $B \xrightarrow{g} C \xleftarrow{f} A$ with common codomain. A cone over this has the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D & \xrightarrow{i} & A \\ k \downarrow & \searrow \ell & \\ B & & C \end{array}$$

satisfying $fh = \ell = gk$ or equivalently a completion of the diagram to a commutative square. A terminal such completion is called a *pullback* for the pair (f, g) . If \mathcal{C} has products and equalizers

then it has pullbacks: form the product $A \times B$ and then the equalizer $E \xrightarrow{e} A \times B \xrightarrow[g\pi_2]{f\pi_1} C$.

Then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\pi_1 e} & A \\ \pi_2 e \downarrow & & \\ B & & \end{array} \quad \text{is a limit for} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & A \\ & & \downarrow f \\ B & \xrightarrow{g} & C \end{array}$$

A colimit of shape J^{op} (i.e. of a diagram $C \xleftarrow{g} A \xrightarrow{f} B$) is called a *pushout* of (f, g) .

Theorem 2.7.

- (i) If \mathcal{C} has equalizers and all small (resp. all finite) products, then \mathcal{C} has all small (resp. all finite) limits.
- (ii) If \mathcal{C} has pullbacks and a terminal object, then \mathcal{C} has all finite limits.

Definition 2.8. Let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor, J a (small) category.

- We say F *preserves limits* of shape J if, given $D : J \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and a limit cone $(\lambda_j : L \rightarrow D(j) \mid j \in \text{ob } J)$ the cone $(F\lambda_j : FL \rightarrow FD(j) \mid j \in \text{ob } J)$ is a limit cone for FD in \mathcal{D} .
- We say F *reflects limits* of shape J if given $D : J \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and a cone $(\lambda_j : L \rightarrow D(j) \mid j \in \text{ob } J)$ such that $(F\lambda_j : FL \rightarrow FD(j) \mid j \in \text{ob } \mathcal{C})$ is a limit for FD , then the original cone was a limit for D .
- We say that F *creates limits* of shape J if, given $D : J \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and a limit $(\mu_j : M \rightarrow FD(j) \mid j \in \text{ob } J)$ for FD , there exists a cone $(\lambda_j : L \rightarrow D(j) \mid j \in \text{ob } J)$ over D mapping to a limit for FD , and any such cone is a limit in \mathcal{C} . (Note that if we require M to be in the image of F then category equivalences might not create limits, as M may not be in the image of the equivalence. This definition says that if there is a limit for FD in \mathcal{D} then there is a limit for D in \mathcal{C} that maps to a limit of FD in \mathcal{D} .)

Corollary 2.9. Let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor. In any version of the above theorem 2.7 we may replace “ \mathcal{C} has” by either “ \mathcal{C} has and F preserves” or “ \mathcal{D} has and F creates.”

3. EQUIVALENCES OF CATEGORIES

Definition 3.1. Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be two categories. By an *equivalence* between \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} we mean a pair of functors $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, $G : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ together with natural isomorphisms $\alpha : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow GF$ and $\beta : FG \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{D}}$. We write $\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{D}$ if there exists an equivalence between \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} .

Definition 3.2. Let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor.

- (i) We say F is *faithful* if given any two objects $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$ and two morphisms $f, g : A \rightarrow B$ $Ff = Fg$ implies $f = g$.
- (ii) We say F is *full* if given any two objects $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$ every morphisms $g : FA \rightarrow FB$ in \mathcal{D} is of the form Ff for some $f : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{C} .
- (iii) We say a subcategory \mathcal{C}' of \mathcal{C} is *full* if the inclusion $\mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a full functor.

Lemma 3.3. (Assuming the axiom of choice.) A functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is part of an equivalence iff it is full, faithful and essentially surjective on objects. (i.e. every $B \in \text{ob } \mathcal{D}$ is isomorphic to some FA).

4. ADJUNCTIONS

Definition 4.1. Suppose we are given categories \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} and functors $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, $G : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. We say that F is *left adjoint* to G or G is *right adjoint* to F we’re given, for each $A \in \text{ob } \mathcal{C}$ and each $B \in \text{ob } \mathcal{D}$ a bijection between morphisms $FA \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{D} and morphisms $A \rightarrow GB$ in \mathcal{C} , which is natural in A and B . (If \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are locally small this means that the functors $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ sending (A, B) to $\mathcal{D}(FA, B)$ and to $\mathcal{C}(A, GB)$ are naturally isomorphic.) We write $(F \dashv G)$ if F is left adjoint to G .

We call the corresponding morphisms $h : FA \rightarrow B$, $\hat{h} : A \rightarrow GB$ of an adjunction *adjuncts*. Note that the naturality condition means that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{ccc}
FA & \xrightarrow{h} & B \\
Ff \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\
FC & \xrightarrow{j} & D
\end{array} & \text{commutes iff} & \begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{\hat{h}} & GB \\
f \downarrow & & \downarrow Gg \\
C & \xrightarrow{\hat{j}} & GD
\end{array} \text{ commutes.}
\end{array}$$

Given functors $F : \mathcal{C} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{D} : G$ with $(F \dashv G)$ we have a natural transformation $\eta : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow GF$ and dually a natural transformation $\epsilon : FG \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{D}}$ (the counit of the adjunction), where η_A is defined to be the adjunct of 1_{FA} and ϵ_B is the adjunct of 1_{GB} .

Theorem 4.2. *Given functors $F : \mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D} : G$, specifying an adjunction $F \dashv G$ is equivalent to specifying natural transformations $\eta : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow GF$ and $\epsilon : FG \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{D}}$ satisfying the triangular identities:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
F & \xrightarrow{F\eta} & FGF \\
& \searrow & \downarrow \epsilon_F \\
& & F
\end{array}
\quad \text{and} \quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
G & \xrightarrow{\eta_G} & GFG \\
& \searrow & \downarrow G\epsilon \\
& & G
\end{array}$$

Theorem 4.3. *Left adjoints preserve colimits and right adjoints preserve limits.*

5. THE YONEDA LEMMA

If \mathcal{C} is locally small then the mapping $B \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(A, B)$ becomes a functor $\mathcal{C}(A, -) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$. Given a morphism $g : B \rightarrow C$ in \mathcal{C} , $\mathcal{C}(A, g) : \mathcal{C}(A, B) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(A, C)$ sends $f \in \mathcal{C}(A, B)$ to gf . (Associativity of composition implies that this is a functor.) Similarly, $A \mapsto \mathcal{C}(A, B)$ defines a functor $\mathcal{C}(-, B) : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$.

Lemma 5.1 (Yoneda Lemma).

- (i) *Let \mathcal{C} be a locally small category, $A \in \text{ob } \mathcal{C}$ and $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ a functor. Then there is a bijection between natural transformations $\mathcal{C}(A, -) \rightarrow F$ and elements of FA .*
- (ii) *Moreover, this bijection is natural in A and F .*

Corollary 5.2. *For a locally small category \mathcal{C} there is a full and faithful functor $Y : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow [\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set}]$ (the Yoneda embedding) sending $A \in \text{ob } \mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{C}(A, -)$.*

To explain Yoneda (ii), suppose that \mathcal{C} is small. Then $[\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set}]$ is locally small, since a natural transformation $F \rightarrow G$ is a set-indexed family of functions $\alpha_A : FA \rightarrow GA$. We have a functor $\mathcal{C} \times [\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set}] \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ sending (A, F) to FA , and another functor which is the composite

$$\mathcal{C} \times [\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set}] \xrightarrow{Y \times 1_{[\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set}]}} [\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set}]^{\text{op}} \times [\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set}] \xrightarrow{[\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set}](-, -)} \mathbf{Set}$$

(ii) is saying that these two functors are naturally isomorphic in each variable. Notice, however, that since the existence of a natural isomorphism is a purely “local” condition, we only need to require that the category be locally small.

Definition 5.3. We say that a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is *representable* if it is naturally isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}(A, -)$ for some A . By a *representation* of F we mean a pair (A, x) where $A \in \text{ob } \mathcal{C}$ and $x \in FA$ is such that $\Psi(x) : \mathcal{C}(A, -) \rightarrow F$ is an isomorphism. We call x a *universal element* of F . It has the property that any $y \in FB$ is of the form $(Ff)(x)$ for some $f \in \mathcal{C}(A, B)$.