

ex 3 $y'' - 2y' - 3y = 4e^{-t}$

Again, fund. set of solutions to $y'' - 2y' - 3y = 0$
is $c_1 e^{3t} + c_2 e^{-t}$.

Caution: Here -1 is a root of char. eqn. of
homogeneous part so we cannot assume

$$\Psi(t) = Ae^{-t}$$

It is already part of $c_1 e^{3t} + c_2 e^{-t}$.

We fix this by setting $s=1$, and $\Psi(t) = At e^{-t}$

ex 4 $y'' - 4y' + 4 = 12e^{2t}$. Here $r=2$ is the only
solution to $r^2 - 4r + 4 = 0$

Fund. set of solns to homogeneous part is
 $c_1 e^{2t} + c_2 t e^{2t}$.

Here, $g(t) = 12e^{2t}$, so assume $\Psi(t) = At^2 e^{2t}$
($s=2$ since $r=2$ is a double root to $r^2 - 4r + 4 = 0$)

$$\underline{\text{ex 5}} \quad y'' - 4y' + 4y = 3t^3 e^{-2t}$$

Here $\mathcal{E}(t) = t^2(At^3 + Bt^2 + Ct + D) e^{2t}$

This method is useful but limited in scope

- ① LHS must have constant coefficients
 - ② RHS must be nice
-

Here is a more general idea: Variation of parameters

- ① Is a form of reduction of order
- ② Works for any 2nd order linear nonhomogeneous ODE
- ③ Relies on two assumptions.

Given $y'' + p(t)y' + q(t)y = g(t)$, suppose $c_1y_1(t) + c_2y_2(t)$ is a fundamental set of solutions to $Ly = 0$.

Assumption 1 Assume $\boxed{Y(t) = u_1(t)y_1(t) + u_2(t)y_2(t)}$ solves $Ly = g(t)$, for u_1, u_2 unknown funcs.
(Compare to reduction of order technique).

Then $\dot{Y}(t) = u'_1y_1 + u_1y'_1 + u'_2y_2 + u_2y'_2$

Note: This is messy, but a good assumption.
We can make this easier to handle.

Assumption 2 Assume $\boxed{u'_1y_1 + u'_2y_2 = 0}$

Then $\dot{Y}(t) = u_1y_1 + u_2y'_2$, and

$$\ddot{Y}(t) = u'_1y_1 + u_1y''_1 + u'_2y'_2 + u_2y''_2$$

Substitute these into $L\{y\} = g(t)$ and get

$$(u_1' y_1' + u_1 y_1'' + u_2' y_2' + u_2 y_2'') + p(u_1 y_1 + u_2 y_2) + q(u_1 y_1 + u_2 y_2) = g(t)$$

Rearrange to get

$$\underbrace{u_1(y_1'' + py_1' + qy_1)}_0 + \underbrace{u_2(y_2'' + py_2' + qy_2)}_0 + u_1'y_1 + u_2'y_2 = g(t)$$

$u_1'y_1 + u_2'y_2 = g(t)$

Here, Assumption 2 is a good one since

- ① First assumption allows a lot of freedom since 2 unknown functions are present.
- ② Second assumption allows for no second derivatives of u_1, u_2 in ODE.

Both assumptions, within ODE yield the system

$$u_1'y_1 + u_2'y_2 = 0$$

$$u_1'y_1 + u_2'y_2' = g(t)$$

Solve this for u_1' and u_2' , integrate each to find $u_1(t)$ and $u_2(t)$. Are there solutions?

Solving, we get

$$u_1' = \frac{-y_2 g}{y_1 y_2 - y_2 y_1} = \frac{-y_2 g}{W(y_1, y_2)}$$

$$u_2' = \frac{y_1 g}{y_1 y_2 - y_2 y_1} = \frac{y_1 g}{W(y_1, y_2)}$$

Hence

$$u_1 = \int \frac{-y_2 g}{W(y_1, y_2)} dt, \quad u_2 = \int \frac{y_1 g}{W(y_1, y_2)} dt$$

With these, $\mathfrak{F}(t) = u_1 y_1 + u_2 y_2$ is one particular solution, and

$$y(t) = c_1 y_1(t) + c_2 y_2(t) + \mathfrak{F}(t)$$

is the general solution to $y'' + p y' + q y = g$

What does this look like in practice?

Ex 3.6.14 Knowing $y_1(t) = t$, and $y_2(t) = te^t$

V

both solve $t^2 y'' + t(t+2)y' + (t+2)y = \cancel{0}$

on $t > 0$, find the general solution to

$$t^2 y'' + t(t+2)y' + (t+2)y = 2t^3.$$

Strategy: We use the Variation of Parameters method

$$\begin{aligned} Y(t) &= u_1(t)y_1(t) + u_2(t)y_2(t) \\ &= u_1 t + u_2 t e^t \end{aligned}$$

Note: Here,
 $g(t) = 2t$, not
 $2t^3$

Solution: Given this assumption for $Y(t)$, we obtain the system $u_1'y_1 + u_2'y_2 = 0$, $u_1'y_1 + u_2'y_2' = g(t)$,

$$\begin{aligned} u_1't + u_2'te^t &= 0 \\ u_1' + u_2'(e^t + te^t) &= 2t^3 \end{aligned} \left. \begin{array}{l} (\text{---}) \times \text{eqn 2} \\ \text{end add} \end{array} \right\} \begin{cases} u_1't + u_2'te^t = 0 \\ -u_1't - u_2't(e^t + te^t) = 2t^3 \end{cases}$$

Add eqn 1 to eqn 2 to get $-u_2't^2e^t = -2t^2$

$$\text{or } u_2' = 2e^{-t}, \text{ so } \boxed{u_2(t) = -2e^{-t}}$$

Then, using eqn 1, $u_1't + (2e^{-t})te^t = 0$, or $u_1' = -2$

$$\text{so } \boxed{u_1(t) = -2t}$$

$$\text{Then } Y(t) = -2t(t) + (-2e^{-t})te^t = -2t^2 - 2t$$

$$\text{So general solution is } y(t) = c_1 t + c_2 t e^t - 2t^2 - 2t$$

VI

or $y(t) = K_1 t + K_2 t e^t - 2t^2$

Note: We could appeal directly to the general form

for u_1, u_2 : $w(t, te^t) = \begin{vmatrix} t & te^t \\ 1 & e^t + te^t \end{vmatrix} = t^2 e^t$ or $t > 0$

$$u_1(t) = \int \frac{-y_2 f}{w(y_1, y_2)} dt = \int \frac{-(te^t) 2t}{t^2 e^t} dt = - \int 2 dt = -2t$$

$$u_2(t) = \int \frac{y_1 f}{w(y_1, y_2)} dt = \int \frac{t(2t)}{t^2 e^t} dt = 2 \int e^{-t} dt = -2e^{-t}$$

Question: So why are there no constants of integration here?