

New question: If you found 2 solutions y_1, y_2 to $L[y] = 0$, do all solutions look like $c_1 y_1 + c_2 y_2$? Can there be others?

To study this, let's "solve" the IVP

$$L[y] = 0, \quad y(t_0) = y_0, \quad y'(t_0) = y'_0$$

using the idea of a "general" solution

$$y(t) = c_1 y_1(t) + c_2 y_2(t)$$

We set

$$\underbrace{c_1 y_1(t_0)}_{\text{real #}} + \underbrace{c_2 y_2(t_0)}_{\text{real #}} = y_0 \quad (\#A)$$

$$c_1 y_1'(t_0) + c_2 y_2'(t_0) = y'_0$$

Solve this system for c_1, c_2 (2 eqn, 2 unknowns)

$$c_1 = \frac{y_0 y_2'(t_0) - y'_0 y_2(t_0)}{y_1(t_0) y_2'(t_0) - y'_1(t_0) y_2(t_0)} \quad c_2 = \frac{y_0 y_1'(t_0) - y'_0 y_1(t_0)}{y_1(t_0) y_2'(t_0) - y'_1(t_0) y_2(t_0)}$$

Note: Solutions to $(\#A)$: 1 soln lines cross
0 solns lines parallel
oo solns lines lie same.

II

Note: The numerators are different for c_1, c_2
but the denominators are the same!

Rewrite the denominator as the determinant
of a 2×2 matrix whose entries are
the coefficients of (AA) :

$$y_1(t_0) y_2'(t_0) - y_1'(t_0) y_2(t_0) = \begin{vmatrix} y_1(t_0) & y_2(t_0) \\ y_1'(t_0) & y_2'(t_0) \end{vmatrix}$$

This comes from writing (AA) as a matrix equation:

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} y_1(t_0) & y_2(t_0) \\ y_1'(t_0) & y_2'(t_0) \end{bmatrix}}_A \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_0 \\ y_0' \end{bmatrix}$$

Given this matrix equation, if $\det A \neq 0$,

there is a unique solution (c_1, c_2) .

In our case, in the 2 expressions for c_1, c_2 :

- ① If denominators non-zero, then unique solution
- ② If only denominator zero, then no solutions
- ③ If both numerator, denominator zero, two of solns.

$$\text{Call } W = W(y_1, y_2)(t_0) = \begin{vmatrix} y_1(t_0) & y_2(t_0) \\ y'_1(t_0) & y'_2(t_0) \end{vmatrix}$$

The Wronskian (determinant) of y_1, y_2 at t_0 .

- Tells you about the solutions to the IVP

$$L[y] = 0, \quad y(t_0) = y_0, \quad y'(t_0) = y'_0.$$

Thm 1 Suppose y_1, y_2 are 2 solutions to $L[y] = 0$ and at the initial values $y(t_0) = y_0, y'_0(t_0) = y'_0$

$$W(y_1, y_2)(t_0) \neq 0.$$

$\Rightarrow \exists c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ so that $y(t) = c_1 y_1(t) + c_2 y_2(t)$ solves the IVP.

Note: This ensures that y_1 and y_2 are fundamentally "different" solutions
(read: independent)

Q: What does this mean?

Thm 2 If y_1, y_2 both solve $L[y] = 0$,
 and if $\exists t_0$ where $W(y_1, y_2)(t_0) \neq 0$,
 $\Rightarrow y(t) = c_1 y_1 + c_2 y_2$ includes every soln!

Pf. Let φ be any soln to the IVP near t_0 ,
 where $W(y_1, y_2)(t_0) \neq 0$. Then by Thm 1,
 $c_1 y_1(t) + c_2 y_2(t)$ solves the IVP for some
 choice of $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. But by uniqueness,
 $\varphi(t) = c_1 y_1(t) + c_2 y_2(t)$. \blacksquare

Hence, given $L[y] = 0$, if you find any 2
 solutions y_1, y_2 where Wronskian is
 somewhere non-zero, then

$$y(t) = c_1 y_1(t) + c_2 y_2(t)$$

includes all solutions on the entire interval
 where Wronskian is non-zero.

Called the general solution or the fundamental
 set of solutions to $L[y] = 0$.

V

ex. Suppose $y_1 = e^{r_1 t}$ and $y_2 = e^{r_2 t}$ both

solve $L[y] = 0$. The Wronskian is

$$W(y_1, y_2)(t) = \begin{vmatrix} e^{r_1 t} & e^{r_2 t} \\ r_1 e^{r_1 t} & r_2 e^{r_2 t} \end{vmatrix} = (r_1 + r_2) e^{(r_1 + r_2)t}$$

Here, as long as $r_1 \neq r_2$, $W(y_1, y_2)(t) \neq 0$ everywhere on \mathbb{R} , and

$$y(t) = c_1 e^{r_1 t} + c_2 e^{r_2 t}$$

is a fundamental set of solutions to $L[y] = 0$.

ex. $y_1(t) = \sin t$, $y_2(t) = \cos t$, $W(y_1, y_2)(t) = 1$

ex. $y_1(t) = \sin t$, $y_2(t) = \cos(t - \frac{\pi}{2})$, $W(y_1, y_2)(t) = 0$.

When $W(y_1, y_2)(t) \neq 0$, we say y_1, y_2 are linearly independent (or functions).

Def Two functions $f(x), g(x)$ are called linearly dependent (LD) on some open interval I if there exists 2 constants k_1, k_2 not both 0, where

$$k_1 f(x) + k_2 g(x) = 0$$

$\forall x \in I$. Otherwise, called linearly independent or LI.

Note:

Thm If at one pt $x \in I$ where 2 func are LI, then the function are LI on I .

Extra The Wronskian only really depends on the ODE in a fundamental way:

Thm Given any 2 solutions to $L[y] = 0$, where $p(t), q(t)$ are cont on an open interval I , then

$$W(y_1, y_2) = C e^{-\int p(t) dt}$$

where C depends on y_1, y_2 but not on t .

- Notes
- ① If y_1, y_2 are LD, then $C=0$.
 - ② If y_1, y_2 are LI, then $W \neq 0$ on all of I .
 - ③ Proof is quite interesting!
 - ④ LI and non-zero Wronskian are the same things for ODEs.

Pf. Since y_1, y_2 solve the ODE

$$\textcircled{a} \quad y_1'' + p(t)y_1' + q(t)y_1 = 0$$

$$\textcircled{b} \quad y_2'' + p(t)y_2' + q(t)y_2 = 0$$

Mult \textcircled{a} by $-y_2$ and \textcircled{b} by y_1 and add
(eliminating $q(t)$)

$$\underbrace{(y_1y_2'' - y_2y_1'')}_{W'(y_1, y_2)} + p(t) \underbrace{(y_1y_2' - y_2y_1')}_{W(y_1, y_2)} = 0$$

$$W' + p(t)W = 0$$

" is a 1st order ODE in the Wronskian det
or a func of t .

By Separation of variables:

$$\frac{W'}{W} = -p(t) \Rightarrow |\ln|W|| = - \int p(t) dt + C$$

$$\Rightarrow W = C e^{-\int p(t) dt}$$

Either $W=0$ on all of I or $W \neq 0$ on all of I .