

# 110.302 ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Professor Richard Brown  
Existence and Uniqueness worksheet

---

---

Consider the first order IVP

$$(1) \quad \dot{y}(t) = f(t, y), \quad y(t_0) = y_0.$$

As talked about in class, the question of whether Equation 1 has a solution, and when it has a solution, if it is uniquely defined, is a difficult one in general. However, due to the following theorem, the properties of  $f(t, y)$  at and near the initial point  $(t_0, y_0)$  can ensure that unique solutions exist:

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose  $f(t, y)$  and  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t, y)$  are continuous in some rectangle*

$$R = \left\{ (t, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \alpha < t < \beta, \gamma < y < \delta \right\},$$

*containing the initial point  $(t_0, y_0)$ . Then, in some interval  $t_0 - h < t < t_0 + h$  contained in  $\alpha < t < \beta$ , there is a unique solution  $y = \phi(t)$  of Equation 1.*

To give a good sense of why this is true, let's start with a definition:

**Definition 2.** An *operator* is a function whose domain and range are functions.

A good example of this is the derivative operator  $\frac{d}{dx}$  which acts on all differentiable functions of one independent variable, and takes them to other (in this case, at least) continuous functions. Think

$$\frac{d}{dx}(x^2 + \sin x) = 2x + \cos x.$$

There are numerous technical difficulties in defining operators correctly, but for now, simply accept this general description.

We claim that any possible solution  $y = \phi(t)$  (if it exists) to Equation 1 must satisfy

$$(2) \quad \phi(t) = y_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(s, \phi(s)) ds$$

for all  $t$  in some interval containing  $t_0$ .

**Exercise 1.** Show that this is true (really, this is very straightforward. Simply take the derivative of Equation 2, noting that the right-hand side is easy to derive knowing the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus.)

At this point, existence of a solution to the ODE is assured in the case that  $f(t, y)$  is continuous on  $R$ , as the integral in Equation 2 will then exist at least on some smaller interval  $t_0 - h < t < t_0 + h$  contained inside  $\alpha < t < \beta$  (the reason it may not exist all the way out to the edge of  $R$ ? What if the edge of  $R$  is an asymptote in the  $t$  variable?)

As for uniqueness, suppose  $f(t, y)$  is continuous as above, and consider the following operator  $T$ , which takes a function  $\phi(t)$  to its image  $T(\phi(t))$  defined by

$$T(\phi(t)) = y_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(s, \phi(s)) ds.$$

We can stick in many functions for  $\phi(t)$  and the image will be a different function  $T(\phi(t))$  (sometimes, we will simply write  $T(\phi)$ ) which is still a function of  $t$ . However, looking back at Equation 2, if we stick in the function  $\phi(t)$  which solves our IVP, the image  $T(\phi)$  should be the same as  $\phi$ . In this case, we call such a function a *fixed point* of  $T$ , since  $T(\phi) = \phi$ .

**Example 3.** Consider the Initial Value Problem  $y' = ty$ ,  $y(0) = 1$ . This ODE is separable, and you should verify that the particular solution is  $y(t) = e^{t^2/2}$ . According to the Existence and Uniqueness Theorem, this will be the ONLY solution passing through the point  $(t_0, y_0) = (0, 1)$  in the  $ty$ -plane.

If we define the operator  $T$  as above, then for THIS ODE, we get  $f(s, \phi(s)) = s\phi(s)$ ,  $t_0 = 0$ , and  $y_0 = 1$ , and

$$T(\phi) = y_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(s, \phi(s)) ds = 1 + \int_0^t s\phi(s) ds.$$

Let's input a few functions into this operator and "see" where they go:

- Let  $\phi(t) = 2$ , a constant: Then  $T(\phi) = T[2]$ , and

$$T(2) = 1 + \int_0^t 2s ds = 1 + s^2 \Big|_0^t = 1 + t^2.$$

- Let  $\phi(t) = t^2$ : Then

$$T(\phi) = T(t^2) = 1 + \int_0^t s(s^2) ds = 1 + \int_0^t s^3 ds = 1 + \frac{s^4}{4} \Big|_0^t = 1 + \frac{t^4}{4}.$$

- Let  $\phi(t) = \cos t$ : Then

$$\begin{aligned} T(\phi) &= T(\cos t) = 1 + \int_0^t s \cos s ds \\ &= 1 + s \sin s \Big|_0^t - \int_0^t \sin s ds \\ &= 1 + t \sin t + \cos t - 1 = t \sin t + \cos t. \end{aligned}$$

- Let  $\phi(t) = e^t$ : Then

$$\begin{aligned} T(\phi) &= T(e^t) = 1 + \int_0^t se^s ds \\ &= 1 + se^s \Big|_0^t - \int_0^t e^s ds \\ &= 1 + te^t - e^t + 1 = 2 - e^t + te^t. \end{aligned}$$

- Let  $\phi(t) = e^{t^2/2}$ : Then

$$\begin{aligned} T(\phi) &= T\left(e^{t^2/2}\right) = 1 + \int_0^t s e^{s^2/2} ds \\ &= 1 + e^{s^2/2} \Big|_0^t = 1 + e^{t^2/2} - 1 = e^{t^2/2}. \end{aligned}$$

This last input function seems to be the only one where  $T(\phi(t)) = \phi(t)$ . That is, it is the only example here of a fixed point for this operator.

**Exercise 2.** Find ALL fixed points for the derivative operator  $\frac{d}{dx}$  on the domain  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Hence, instead of looking for solutions to the IVP, we can instead look for fixed points of the operator  $T$ , since any fixed point for  $T$  will also satisfy Equation 2 and hence solve the IVP. How do we do this? Fortunately, this operator has an interesting property. First, for  $T$  an operator and  $\phi$  a function, define

$$T^n(\phi) = \overbrace{T(T(\cdots(T(\phi))\cdots))}^{n \text{ times}}.$$

Incidentally, this is called iterating the function  $T$ , and the above expression is called the  $n$ th iterate of  $\phi$  under  $T$ .

**Theorem 4.** Suppose you have a way to measure the distance between two functions  $f(t)$  and  $g(t)$  and call this distance  $\text{dist}(f, g)$ . If an operator  $T$  satisfies

$$\text{dist}(T(f), T(g)) \leq C \cdot \text{dist}(f, g), \quad \text{for some } 0 < C < 1,$$

then there is a single function  $\phi$  that satisfies  $T(\phi) = \phi$ . In addition, this unique fixed point satisfies

$$\phi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n(g)$$

for any starting function  $g(t)$ .

*Remark 5.* Any operator that satisfies the distance criterion in this theorem is called a  $C$ -contraction, and in essence this theorem is called the Contraction Principle, or the Contraction Mapping Theorem; a common tool used in the study of ODEs and Dynamical Systems.

*Remark 6.* Though not entirely necessary, it does make the proof easier to suppose that both  $f(t, y)$  and  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t, y)$  are not only continuous on  $R$ , but bounded here also. This is because we can always slightly restrict  $R$  at an edge where one of the variables blows up. The proof is true even in this case. However, it is much easier to see with this restriction. As an example, let  $f(t, y) = \log y$ . Here, both  $f$  and  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{y}$  are continuous on the rectangle  $-1 < t < 1$ ,  $0 < y < 1$ . However, neither are bounded here. Create a new rectangle  $\tilde{R}$  by moving the left boundary of  $R$  slightly to the right; for a small  $\epsilon > 0$ , define  $\tilde{R}$  to be  $-1 < t < 1$ ,  $\epsilon < y < 1$ . Here then both  $f$  and  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$  are continuous and bounded on  $\tilde{R}$ .

*proof of Theorem 1.* Under the supposition that  $f$  and  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$  are bounded on  $R$ , call

$$M = \max_R \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t, y) \right|,$$

and choose a small number  $h = \frac{C}{M}$ , where  $C < 1$ . Then define a distance within the set of continuous functions on the closed interval  $I = [t_0 - h, t_0 + h]$  by

$$\text{dist}(g, h) = \max_{t \in I} |g(t) - h(t)|.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad \text{dist}(T(g), T(h)) &= \max_{t \in I} \left| T(g(t)) - T(h(t)) \right| \\ (4) &= \max_{t \in I} \left| y_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(s, g(s)) ds - y_0 - \int_{t_0}^t f(s, h(s)) ds \right| \\ (5) &= \max_{t \in I} \left| \int_{t_0}^t f(s, g(s)) - f(s, h(s)) ds \right| \\ (6) &= \max_{t \in I} \left| \int_{t_0}^t \left[ \int_{h(s)}^{g(s)} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(s, r) dr \right] ds \right| \\ (7) &\leq \max_{t \in I} \left| \int_{t_0}^t M |g(s) - h(s)| ds \right| \\ (8) &\leq \max_{t \in I} \int_{t_0}^t M \cdot \text{dist}(g, h) ds \\ (9) &\leq \max_{t \in I} \left\{ M \cdot \text{dist}(g, h) \cdot |t - t_0| \right\} \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise 3.** The justifications of going from Step 5 to Step 6 and from Step 6 to Step 7 are adaptations of major Theorems from Calculus I-II to functions of more than one independent variable. Find what theorems these are and show that these are valid justifications.

**Exercise 4.** Justify why the remaining steps are true.

Now notice is the last inequality that since  $I = [t_0 - h, t_0 + h]$ , we have that

$$|t - t_0| \leq h = \frac{C}{M}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(T(g), T(h)) &\leq \max_{t \in I} \left\{ M \cdot \text{dist}(g, h) \cdot |t - t_0| \right\} \\ &= M \cdot \text{dist}(g, h) \cdot \frac{C}{M} = C \cdot \text{dist}(g, h). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $T$  is a  $C$ -contraction and there is a unique fixed point  $\phi$  (which is a solution to the original IVP) on the interval  $I$ . Here

$$\phi(t) = T(\phi(t)) = y_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(s, \phi(s)) ds.$$

□

As an application, we can actually use this construction to “solve” an ODE:

**Example 7.** Solve the IVP

$$y' = 2t(1 + y), \quad y(0) = 0.$$

Here,  $f(t, y) = 2t(1 + y)$ , as well as  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t, y) = 2t$  are both continuous on the whole plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Hence unique solutions exist everywhere.

To actually find a solution, start with an initial guess to be

$$\phi_0(t) = 0.$$

Notice that this choice of  $\phi_0(t)$  does not solve the ODE. But since the operator  $T$  is a contraction, iterating will lead us to a solution: Define  $T(\phi_0(t)) = \phi_1(t)$ , and similarly, define

$$\phi_n(t) = T(\phi_{n-1}(t)) = \overbrace{T(T(\cdots(T(\phi_0(t)))) \cdots)}^{n \text{ times}}.$$

Here

$$\phi_1(t) = T(\phi_0(t)) = y_0 + \int_0^t 2s(1 + \phi_0(s)) ds = \int_0^t 2s(1 + 0) ds = t^2.$$

Continuing, we get

$$\phi_2(t) = T(\phi_1(t)) = y_0 + \int_0^t 2s(1 + \phi_1(s)) ds = \int_0^t 2s(1 + s^2) ds = t^2 + \frac{1}{2}t^4,$$

$$\phi_3(t) = T(\phi_2(t)) = y_0 + \int_0^t 2s(1 + \phi_2(s)) ds = \int_0^t 2s \left( 1 + s^2 + \frac{1}{2}s^4 \right) ds = t^2 + \frac{1}{2}t^4 + \frac{1}{6}t^6,$$

$$\phi_4(t) = T(\phi_3(t)) = y_0 + \int_0^t 2s(1 + \phi_3(s)) ds = \int_0^t 2s \left( 1 + s^2 + \frac{1}{2}s^4 + \frac{1}{6}s^6 \right) ds = t^2 + \frac{1}{2}t^4 + \frac{1}{6}t^6 + \frac{1}{24}t^8.$$

**Exercise 5.** Find the pattern and write out a finite series expression for  $\phi_n(t)$ . Here one can prove by induction that the pattern you find is the  $n$ th iterate function. However, I am more interested in you “seeing” it right now.

**Exercise 6.** Find a closed form expression for  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(t)$  and show that it is a solution of the IVP.