

Class 32: Nov. 18, 2013

I

Note: In the previous example, we found that the eigenvalues of $A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ 3 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$ were $\lambda_1 = 3$ and $\lambda_2 = -2$, with representative eigenvectors $\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, and $\vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$. These 2 eigenvectors, being independent, form a basis for $\mathbb{R}^2$. $B = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ which is an eigenbasis for A .

In the B -coordinates, A is diagonal, with matrix $B = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$. The change-of-basis matrix is $S = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$, so that

$$S^{-1}AS = B.$$

This relationship between A , B (eigenvalues on along the diagonal), and S (eigenvectors are the columns and in the same positions as their eigenvalues, it is a coincidence!!

ex (Example 1, pg 339, suitably adapted)

Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & -2 \\ 1 & -2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$. Describe the eigenspaces.

Strategy: Calculate eigenvalues and eigenvectors and use the latter to describe the eigenspaces.

Solution: We use a cofactor expansion along the first row of $\begin{vmatrix} 5-\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1-\lambda & -2 \\ 1 & -2 & 4-\lambda \end{vmatrix} = \det(A - \lambda I_3)$

to calculate the determinant:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{vmatrix} 5-\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1-\lambda & -2 \\ 1 & -2 & 4-\lambda \end{vmatrix} &= (-1)^{1+1}(5-\lambda) \begin{vmatrix} 1-\lambda & -2 \\ -2 & 4-\lambda \end{vmatrix} + (-1)^{1+2} 0 \\ &\quad + (-1)^{1+3} 0 \\ &= (5-\lambda)((1-\lambda)(4-\lambda) - (-2)^2) \\ &= -\lambda^3 + 10\lambda^2 - 25\lambda \end{aligned}$$

Set this to 0 and solve:

$$-\lambda^3 + 10\lambda^2 - 25\lambda = 0 = -\lambda(\lambda - 5)^2$$

Hence the eigenvalues are $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 5$, with algebraic multiplicity 2, and $\lambda_3 = 0$.

To calculate the eigenvectors, first choose $\lambda_3 = 0$. Then $A\vec{v} = \lambda\vec{v} = 0\vec{v} = \vec{0}$ implies that the 0-eigenspace is just the kernel of A :

$$A\vec{v} = \vec{0} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & -2 \\ 1 & -2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{aligned} 5v_1 &= 0 \\ 2v_1 + v_2 - 2v_3 &= 0 \\ v_1 - 2v_2 + 4v_3 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Note: Alternatively, we know $v_1 = 0$, and with $v_1 = 0$, we set $\begin{aligned} v_2 &= 2v_3 \\ -2v_2 &= -4v_3 \end{aligned} \left\} \text{same equation}\right.$

(Remember, this will always be the case.)

Choose $v_3 = 1$, and $v_2 = 2$, so that $\vec{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

is an eigenvector of $\lambda_3 = 0$, and

$$E_0 = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Now choose $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 5$. The system $A\vec{v} = 5\vec{v}$

Ex. (cont'd)

$$A\vec{v} = 5\vec{v} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & -2 \\ 1 & -2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5v_1 \\ 5v_2 \\ 5v_3 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{aligned} 5v_1 &= 5v_1 \\ 2v_1 + v_2 - 2v_3 &= 5v_2 \\ v_1 - 2v_2 + 4v_3 &= 5v_3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \left. \begin{aligned} v_1 &= v_1 \\ 2v_1 - 4v_2 - 2v_3 &= 0 \\ v_1 - 2v_2 - v_3 &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{same equation}$$

Here we "create" 2-independent solutions:

② choose $v_1 = 1, v_3 = 1$. Then $v_2 = 0$, and

$$\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ is an eigenvector.}$$

③ To make the 2nd choice automatically independent of the 1st, choose $v_2 = 1, v_3 = 0$. Then

$$v_1 = 2 \quad (\text{why is } \vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ independent of } \vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}?)$$

$$\text{Then } E_5 = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Conclusions we can draw here?

(I) 0 is a perfectly acceptable eigenvalue for a matrix A . In fact, when $\ker(A)$ is a positive dimensional subspace, it is the 0-eigenspace of A . In these directions, all ~~eigen~~ vectors get mapped to the 0-multiple of themselves.

(II) If an eigenvalue has ~~algebraic~~ algebraic multiplicity > 1 , what can be said about the dimension of the eigenspace? Can it be > 1 ? Is it necessarily > 1 ?

very important questions!

(III) The transformation $T: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$ is a composition of a projection onto a 2-dim subspace $V = E_5$, and a scaling by 5. Can you see this??

ex. (Example 3, pg 340)

Find the eigenspace of $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Strategy: Same as previous example.

Solution Eigenvalues are solutions to

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1-\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1-\lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0 = (1-\lambda)^2(-\lambda), \text{ and are } \lambda = 0, 1.$$

and we will call them $\lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 1$.

To find the eigenvectors, choose $\lambda_1 = 0$. Here

the system $A\vec{v} = 0\vec{v} = \vec{0}$ is

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} v_1 + v_2 + v_3 = 0 \\ v_3 = 0 \\ v_3 = 0 \end{array} \right\} v_1 = -v_2 \text{ is the only solution.}$$

choose $v_1 = 1$, so $v_2 = -1, v_3 = 0$, and

$$E_0 = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Example 3 (cont'd.)

Now choose $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$. Here $A\vec{v} = 1\vec{v} = \vec{v}$ is

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} v_1 + v_2 + v_3 = v_1 \\ v_3 = v_2 \\ v_3 = v_3 \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} v_1 = \text{anything} \\ v_2 = -v_3 \\ v_2 = v_3 \end{array}$$

The only solution to the last 2 equations is

$v_2 = v_3 = 0$. And since $v_1 = \text{anything}$,

we set $E_1 = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$.

Here, even though $\lambda = 1$ is an eigenvalue of algebraic multiplicity 2, the eigenspace E_1 is only 1-dimensional.

Conclusions?

- (I) The answer to the last part of the item (I) in the other conclusions is "No, it is not necessarily the case that $\dim(E_\lambda) = \text{algebraic multiplicity of } \lambda$."

Conclusions (cont'd.)

② There aren't enough eigenvectors around to "fill out" all of $\mathbb{R}^3$ in this case. This means there is not an ~~eigenbasis~~ eigenbasis for A .

This means that A is not diagonalizable!

We will explore this next class.