

Class 27: Nov 6, 2013  
Some other determinant properties

I

① Thm 6.2.1 For  $A_{n \times n}$ ,  $\det(A) = \det(A^T)$ .

Note: This a priori may not be so obvious.

But if you look closely at Thm 6.2.10 (last class), you will see that the  $i$ th row cofactor expansion of  $A_{n \times n}$  is precisely the  $i$ th column cofactor expansion of  $A_{n \times n}^T$ . As they will be the same and are stated as such in Thm 6.2.10, this Thm follows.

② Re determinant is ~~linear~~ not linear!

Q: Is the transformation

$$T: \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$T(A) = \det(A)$$

a linear transformation?

II cont'd.

A: It would be if

$$T(k_1 A_1 + k_2 A_2) \stackrel{?}{=} k_1 T(A_1) + k_2 T(A_2).$$

So is it?

example Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ . Here  $\det(A) = 2 - 1 = 1$ .

$$\text{And } \det(kA) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} k & k \\ k & 2k \end{bmatrix}\right) = 2k^2 - k^2 = k^2.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } \det(kA) &= T(kA) = k^2 \det(A) \\ &= k^2 T(A). \end{aligned}$$

when  $A$  is  $2 \times 2$ .

A:  $T$  is not a linear transformation!

Follow up question: For  $A_{n \times n}$ , what would you expect for the box? (why?)

$$\det(kA) \stackrel{?}{=} \boxed{\phantom{000}} \det(A).$$

II cont'd.

But determinant is linear in each row or column individually (we say it is multi-linear here, but that is not a part of this course):

Consider  $T_{v_i}: \mathbb{R}^{1 \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , given by

$$T_{v_i}(\vec{x}) = \det \begin{bmatrix} -\vec{v}_1- \\ \vdots \\ -\vec{v}_{i-1}- \\ -\vec{x}- \\ -\vec{v}_{i+1}- \\ \vdots \\ -\vec{v}_n- \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where } \vec{v}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times n} \text{ are all fixed vectors, and } \vec{x} \text{ is the } i\text{th row.}$$

This is a linear transformation (show this).

And the same is true for  $T_{e_i}: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$T_{e_i}(\vec{x}) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & 1 \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ 1 & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Recall  $T$  is linear if  $T(c_1 \vec{x}_1 + c_2 \vec{x}_2) = c_1 T(\vec{x}_1) + c_2 T(\vec{x}_2)$

(III) On elementary row operations? How do determinants behave?

(a) Divide a row ~~by~~ of  $A$  by  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ .  
to create a new matrix  $B$ .

$$\text{Then } \det(B) = \frac{1}{k} \det(A)$$


---

ex. In the  $2 \times 2$  case, let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Here  $\det(A) = 6 - 4 = 2$ . Create  $B$  by dividing  
row 1 of  $A$  by 2:  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ .

$$\det(B) = 3 - 2 = 1 = \frac{1}{2} 2 = \frac{1}{2} \det(A).$$


---

(b) Swap row  $i$  with row  $j$  to  
create  $B$ :  $\det(B) = -\det(A)$

---

ex. Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\det(A) = 6 - 4 = 2$ .

Create  $B$  via a swap of rows

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \det(B) = 4 - 6 = -2 = -\det(A).$$

III cont'd.

(c) Create B by adding a multiple of one row to another and replacing one of the rows with the result:

$$\det(B) = \det(A)$$

---

These three operations on matrices allows us to actually calculate the determinant of  $A_{n \times n}$  via a row reduction of A to a new matrix whose determinant is easier to calculate.

This presents us with another technique for determinant calculation.

Suppose we row reduce  $A_{n \times n}$  to  $I_n$ , and in the process, we

(i) divided various rows by scalars  $k_1, \dots, k_r \in \mathbb{R}$ , and also

(ii) swapped rows  $s$  times, and

(iii) added multiples of rows to other rows and replaced ....

$$\text{Then } 1 = \det(\underbrace{\text{rref}(A)}_{I_n}) = (-1)^s \frac{1}{k_1 \dots k_r} \det(A),$$

$$\text{or } \det(A) = (-1)^s k_1 \dots k_r \det(\text{rref}(A)) \\ = (-1)^s k_1 \dots k_r \quad \text{in this case.}$$

Note: This method is much more computationally efficient than the cofactor expansion, and is typically used by computer algebra systems.

Follow up Questions

Q1: And if  $\text{rref}(A) \neq I_n$ . What then?

Q2: What if we only row-reduced  $A_{n \times n}$  so that it is upper triangular. Is this helpful?

In both cases, we still have

$$\det(A) = k_1 \cdots k_r (-1)^s \det(\text{rref}(A))$$

or 
$$\det(A) = k_1 \cdots k_r (-1)^s \det(B)$$

where  $B$  is some result of row reduction on  $A$ , involving the  $k_i$ 's,  $k_r$  divider and  $s$  swaps.

---

ex 4 in 6.2 is an excellent example

Exercise 6.2.1 pg 289.

Calculate  $\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$  using row reduction.

Strategy: Row reduce  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$  to upper triangular and then calculate determinant, keeping track of date.

Solution:

Method 1: First, divide row 3 by 2 to render all rows have a leading 1.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \xRightarrow{\frac{1}{2} \text{row } 3 \rightarrow \text{row } 3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & \frac{5}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Now create all 0's in 1<sup>st</sup> column below top:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & \frac{5}{2} \end{bmatrix} \xRightarrow{\begin{array}{l} \text{row } 1 - \text{row } 2 \rightarrow \text{row } 2 \\ \text{row } 1 - \text{row } 3 \rightarrow \text{row } 3 \end{array}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{3}{2} \end{bmatrix} = B$$

So  $\det(A) = (-1)^0 2 \det(B) = 2(1(-2)(-\frac{3}{2})) = 6$

## Exercise 6.2.1 p. 289 (cont'd).

Method 2: Only use step (C) above, adding multiples of rows to other rows with replacement.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \xRightarrow{\substack{\text{row 1} - \text{row 2} \rightarrow \text{row 2} \\ 2\text{row 1} - \text{row 3} \rightarrow \text{row 3}}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} = B.$$

$$\det(A) = \det(B) = 1(-2)(-3) = 6.$$


---

### Other useful properties

① For  $A_{n \times n}$ ,  $B_{n \times n}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\det(AB) = \det(A) \det(B)$$

$$\det(A^m) = (\det(A))^m$$

② For  $A_{n \times n}$  and  $B_{n \times n}$  similar,

$$\det(A) = \det(B) \quad (\text{why?})$$

Note:  $A$  and  $B$  are similar if  $\exists S_{n \times n}$ , where  $AS = SB$ .

$$\text{But then } \det(AS) = \det(A) \det(S) = \det(S) \det(B)$$

$$\boxed{\text{Hence } \det(A) = \det(B)} \quad = \det(S^{-1}BS)$$

Other useful properties (cont'd).

© If  $A_{n \times n}^{-1}$  exists, then

$$\det(A^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\det(A)} = (\det(A))^{-1} \quad (\text{why?})$$

Here  $1 = \det(I_n) = \det(AA^{-1}) = \det(A) \det(A^{-1})$

---