

1. All numbers in this problem refer to the notes. We will first show that  $0 \cdot x = 0$  for any  $x$  in an ordered field. To see this, by (D) and (A4) of Definition 1.1.5 we have

$$0 \cdot x + 0 \cdot x = (0 + 0)x = 0 \cdot x$$

Using (ii) of Definition 1.1.1 we have

$$0 \cdot x + 0 \cdot x + 0 \cdot (-x) = 0 \cdot x + 0 \cdot (-x)$$

Using (D), (A4), (A5) we have

$$0 \cdot x + 0 \cdot (x + (-x)) = 0 \cdot (x + (-x)) \iff 0 \cdot x = 0$$

Now back to the original problem. Since  $x < 0$  we have  $(-x) + x < 0 + (-x)$  by (ii), so  $0 < -x$  by (A4) and (A5). Since  $y < z$  we have  $y + (-y) < z + (-y)$  by (ii) and so  $0 < z + (-y)$  by (A5). By (ii) of Definition 1.1.7 we then have  $(-x)(z + (-y)) > 0$ . Using (D) and (ii) of Definition 1.1.1 we have

$$(-x)z + (-x)(-y) > 0 \implies (-x)z + (-x)(-y) + x(-y) > (-x)(-y) + x(-y)$$

Using (D), (A5) and  $0 \cdot x = 0$  we have

$$(-x)z + ((-x) + x)(-y) > x(-y) \implies (-x)z + 0 \cdot (-y) > x(-y) \implies (-x)z > x(-y)$$

Two applications of (ii) of Definition 1.1.1 gives

$$(-x)z + xz + xy > (-x)y + xz + xy$$

Using (A2) and (D) we have

$$((-x) + x)z + xy > ((-x) + x)y + xz$$

Finally using (A5) and  $0 \cdot x = 0$  we get

$$0 \cdot z + xy > 0 \cdot y + xz \implies xy > xz$$

which is what we want to prove.

2. By definition of the supremum, we can find  $a_1 \in A$  such that  $s > a_1 > s - 1$ . Since  $a_1 \neq s$ , there is  $a_2 \in A$  such that  $s > a_2 > a_1$ . More generally, having defined  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , we let  $a_{n+1} \in A$  be such that  $s > a_{n+1} > a_n$ . This gives a surjective map from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots\} \subset A$ , so  $A$  contains a countably infinite subset.
3. If  $E$  is empty then everything is vacuously true, so suppose  $E \neq \emptyset$ . Every set  $E \subset S$  is bounded below by 1 and above by  $\infty$ . If  $\infty \notin E$ , then  $E$  has an infimum is well-defined by well-ordering principle.  $E$  has a supremum if  $E$  is finite, and if  $E$  is infinite the supremum is  $\infty$ . If  $\infty \in E$ , then the infimum is again guaranteed by well-ordering principle, and the supremum is just  $\infty$ .
4. Since  $x^2 \geq 0$  we have  $x^2 \leq x^2 + y^2 = 0 \implies x^2 = 0 \implies x = 0$ . Similarly  $y = 0$ .
5. When  $n = 1$  we have  $(1 + x)^n = 1 + x$  so the inequality holds (and is actually a equality). Suppose the claim is true for  $n$ , then

$$(1 + x)^{n+1} = (1 + x)^n(1 + x) \geq (1 + nx)(1 + x) = 1 + nx + x + nx^2 = 1 + (n + 1)x + nx^2 > 1 + (n + 1)x$$

so the claim holds true for  $n + 1$  as well.

6. In class we showed that there is a unique positive number  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $r^2 = 5$  and  $r = \sup\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x^2 < 5\}$ . Note that  $\mathbb{Q}$  has the property that given two rational numbers  $p < q$  there is a rational number  $s$  with  $p < s < q$ , so the same proof also shows that  $r' = \{\sup x \in \mathbb{Q} \mid x^2 < 5\}$  satisfies  $(r')^2 = 5$  ( $\mathbb{Q}$  does not have the least upper bound property, so we must consider the set  $\{x \in \mathbb{Q} \mid x^2 < 5\}$  as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  in order to obtain the number  $r'$ , which is not in  $\mathbb{Q}$ ). Since  $r$  is the unique positive real number satisfying  $r^2 = 5$ , we conclude  $r = r'$ , which means the suprema are equal.

7. If  $x \geq y$ , then  $\max\{x, y\} = x$  and

$$\frac{1}{2}(x + y + |x - y|) = \frac{1}{2}(x + y + x - y) = \frac{1}{2}(2x) = x$$

Similarly if  $y \geq x$  then  $\max\{x, y\} = y$  and

$$\frac{1}{2}(x + y + |x - y|) = \frac{1}{2}(x + y + y - x) = \frac{1}{2}(2y) = y$$

The second statement is proven similarly.

8. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by definition there is  $x_1 \in D$  such that  $f(x_0) + g(x_0) > \sup_{x \in D}(f(x) + g(x)) - \varepsilon$ . Then

$$\sup_{x \in D}(f(x) + g(x)) < f(x_0) + g(x_0) + \varepsilon \leq \sup_{x \in D} f(x) + \sup_{x \in D} g(x) + \varepsilon$$

Since this is true for any  $\varepsilon$  we see that

$$\sup_{x \in D}(f(x) + g(x)) \leq \sup_{x \in D} f(x) + \sup_{x \in D} g(x)$$

Let  $D = \{1, -1\}$ ,  $f(1) = 1$ ,  $f(-1) = -1$  and  $g(1) = -1$ ,  $g(-1) = 1$ , then  $f + g$  is identically 0, so

$$0 = \sup_{x \in D}(f(x) + g(x)) \leq \sup_{x \in D} f(x) + \sup_{x \in D} g(x) = 1 + 1 = 2$$