

Analogues of Alladi's formula over global function fields

Biao Wang

State University of New York at Buffalo

joint work with Lian Duan and Shaoyun Yi

December 4, 2020

Johns Hopkins Junior Number Theory Days

Schedule

1 Alladi's formula and its generalizations

- Alladi's formula
- Dawsey's formula
- Sweeting-Woo's generalization
- Kural-McDonald-Sah's generalization

2 Analogues for function fields

- Main results
- Key ingredients

3 Conjecture: Ultimate Alladi's formula

- Conjecture
- Present Status

Alladi's formula and its generalizations

Alladi's formula

$\mu(n)$: Möbius function.

$\pi(x) := \#\{p : p \leq x\}$ prime counting function.

$\pi(x) \sim x / \log x$: Prime Number Theorem (PNT).

Alladi's formula

$\mu(n)$: Möbius function.

$\pi(x) := \#\{p : p \leq x\}$ prime counting function.

$\pi(x) \sim x / \log x$: Prime Number Theorem (PNT).

The PNT is equivalent to $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} = 0$. Or equivalently,

$$-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} = 1.$$

Alladi's formula

$\mu(n)$: Möbius function.

$\pi(x) := \#\{p : p \leq x\}$ prime counting function.

$\pi(x) \sim x / \log x$: Prime Number Theorem (PNT).

The PNT is equivalent to $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} = 0$. Or equivalently,

$$-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} = 1.$$

Theorem (Alladi, 1977)

Let $k, \ell \geq 1$ be integers with $(\ell, k) = 1$. Then

$$-\sum_{\substack{n \geq 2 \\ p_{\min}(n) \equiv \ell \pmod{k}}} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} = \frac{1}{\varphi(k)}.$$

Here $p_{\min}(n)$ is the smallest prime factor of n , and $\varphi(n)$ is Euler's totient function.

Alladi's formula

$\mu(n)$: Möbius function.

$\pi(x) := \#\{p : p \leq x\}$ prime counting function.

$\pi(x) \sim x / \log x$: Prime Number Theorem (PNT).

The PNT is equivalent to $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} = 0$. Or equivalently,

$$-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} = 1.$$

Theorem (Alladi, 1977)

Let $k, \ell \geq 1$ be integers with $(\ell, k) = 1$. Then

$$-\sum_{\substack{n \geq 2 \\ p_{\min}(n) \equiv \ell \pmod{k}}} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} = \frac{1}{\varphi(k)}.$$

Here $p_{\min}(n)$ is the smallest prime factor of n , and $\varphi(n)$ is Euler's totient function.

PNT in arithmetic progressions: $\#\{p \leq x : p \equiv \ell \pmod{k}\} \sim \frac{1}{\varphi(k)} \pi(x)$.

Two key ingredients

1. Alladi's duality idea on prime factors of integers

Let $p_{\max}(n)$ be the largest prime factor of n . If $f(1) = 0$, $p_{\min}(1) = p_{\max}(1) = 1$, then

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d)f(p_{\min}(d)) = -f(p_{\max}(n)),$$

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d)f(p_{\max}(d)) = -f(p_{\min}(n)).$$

Two key ingredients

1. Alladi's duality idea on prime factors of integers

Let $p_{\max}(n)$ be the largest prime factor of n . If $f(1) = 0$, $p_{\min}(1) = p_{\max}(1) = 1$, then

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) f(p_{\min}(d)) = -f(p_{\max}(n)),$$

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) f(p_{\max}(d)) = -f(p_{\min}(n)).$$

Theorem (Alladi, 1977)

For any bounded function f and constant δ , we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} f(p_{\max}(n)) \sim \delta \cdot x \quad (1)$$

if and only if

$$-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n) f(p_{\min}(n))}{n} = \delta. \quad (2)$$

Two key ingredients

2. Distribution of largest prime factors

Theorem (Alladi, 1977)

If $(\ell, k) = 1$, then

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ p_{\max}(n) \equiv \ell \pmod{k}}} 1 = \frac{x}{\varphi(k)} + O\left(x \exp(-c(\log x)^{\frac{1}{3}})\right)$$

for some constant $c > 0$.

Two key ingredients

2. Distribution of largest prime factors

Theorem (Alladi, 1977)

If $(\ell, k) = 1$, then

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ p_{\max}(n) \equiv \ell \pmod{k}}} 1 = \frac{x}{\varphi(k)} + O\left(x \exp(-c(\log x)^{\frac{1}{3}})\right)$$

for some constant $c > 0$.

Proof of Alladi's formula. It follows immediately by taking f to be the indicator function for primes in arithmetic progression $\ell \pmod{k}$:

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = p \equiv \ell \pmod{k}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Dawsey's formula: Chebotarev density

L/\mathbb{Q} : finite Galois extension over \mathbb{Q} ;

$G = \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$: Galois group;

$\left[\frac{L/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{p}} \right]$: Artin symbol;

$\left[\frac{L/\mathbb{Q}}{\rho} \right] := \left\{ \left[\frac{L/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{p}} \right] : \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_L \text{ and } \mathfrak{p}|\rho \right\}$ for ρ unramified.

Dawsey's formula: Chebotarev density

L/\mathbb{Q} : finite Galois extension over \mathbb{Q} ;

$G = \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$: Galois group;

$\left[\frac{L/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right]$: Artin symbol;

$\left[\frac{L/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right] := \left\{ \left[\frac{L/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right] : \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_L \text{ and } \mathfrak{p} \nmid p \right\}$ for p unramified.

Chebotarev Density Theorem (CDT): for any conjugacy class $C \subset G$, we have

$$\#\left\{ p \leq x \text{ unramified} : \left[\frac{L/\mathbb{Q}}{p}\right] = C \right\} \sim \frac{|C|}{|G|} \pi(x).$$

Dawsey's formula: Chebotarev density

L/\mathbb{Q} : finite Galois extension over \mathbb{Q} ;

$G = \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$: Galois group;

$\left[\frac{L/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{p}} \right]$: Artin symbol;

$\left[\frac{L/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{p}} \right] := \left\{ \left[\frac{L/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{p}} \right] : \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_L \text{ and } \mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{p} \right\}$ for \mathfrak{p} unramified.

Chebotarev Density Theorem (CDT): for any conjugacy class $C \subset G$, we have

$$\# \left\{ \mathfrak{p} \leq x \text{ unramified} : \left[\frac{L/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{p}} \right] = C \right\} \sim \frac{|C|}{|G|} \pi(x).$$

Theorem (Dawsey, 2017)

For any conjugacy class $C \subset G$, we have

$$- \sum_{\substack{n \geq 2 \\ \left[\frac{L/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{p}_{\min}(n)} \right] = C}} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} = \frac{|C|}{|G|}.$$

Dawsey's formula: Chebotarev density

L/\mathbb{Q} : finite Galois extension over \mathbb{Q} ;

$G = \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$: Galois group;

$\left[\frac{L/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{p}} \right]$: Artin symbol;

$\left[\frac{L/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{p}} \right] := \left\{ \left[\frac{L/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{p}} \right] : \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_L \text{ and } \mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{p} \right\}$ for \mathfrak{p} unramified.

Chebotarev Density Theorem (CDT): for any conjugacy class $C \subset G$, we have

$$\# \left\{ \mathfrak{p} \leq x \text{ unramified} : \left[\frac{L/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{p}} \right] = C \right\} \sim \frac{|C|}{|G|} \pi(x).$$

Theorem (Dawsey, 2017)

For any conjugacy class $C \subset G$, we have

$$- \sum_{\substack{n \geq 2 \\ \left[\frac{L/\mathbb{Q}}{\rho_{\min}(n)} \right] = C}} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} = \frac{|C|}{|G|}.$$

Alladi's formula follows by taking $L = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k)$ and $C =$ the conjugacy class of ℓ , where ζ_k is the k -th primitive unit root.

Sweeting-Woo's formula: Number Fields

L/K : finite Galois extension over an arbitrary number field K ;

$G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$: Galois group;

$\left[\frac{L/K}{\mathfrak{P}} \right]$: Artin symbol;

$\left[\frac{L/K}{\mathfrak{p}} \right] := \left\{ \left[\frac{L/K}{\mathfrak{P}} \right] : \mathfrak{P} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_L \text{ and } \mathfrak{P} | \mathfrak{p} \right\}$ for $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ unramified.

Sweeting-Woo's formula: Number Fields

L/K : finite Galois extension over an arbitrary number field K ;

$G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$: Galois group;

$\left[\frac{L/K}{\mathfrak{P}} \right]$: Artin symbol;

$\left[\frac{L/K}{\mathfrak{p}} \right] := \left\{ \left[\frac{L/K}{\mathfrak{P}} \right] : \mathfrak{P} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_L \text{ and } \mathfrak{P} | \mathfrak{p} \right\}$ for $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ unramified.

Ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ is called *distinguishable* (or salient): if \mathfrak{a} has a **unique** prime factor $\mathfrak{p}_{\min}(\mathfrak{a})$ attaining the smallest norm of prime factors of \mathfrak{a} .

Sweeting-Woo's formula: Number Fields

L/K : finite Galois extension over an arbitrary number field K ;

$G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$: Galois group;

$\left[\frac{L/K}{\mathfrak{P}} \right]$: Artin symbol;

$\left[\frac{L/K}{\mathfrak{p}} \right] := \left\{ \left[\frac{L/K}{\mathfrak{P}} \right] : \mathfrak{P} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_L \text{ and } \mathfrak{P} | \mathfrak{p} \right\}$ for $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ unramified.

Ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ is called *distinguishable* (or salient): if \mathfrak{a} has a **unique** prime factor $\mathfrak{p}_{\min}(\mathfrak{a})$ attaining the smallest norm of prime factors of \mathfrak{a} . For any conjugacy class $C \subset G$, let

$$\mathfrak{D}(L/K, C) := \left\{ \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \text{ is distinguishable} : \mathfrak{p}_{\min}(\mathfrak{a}) \text{ unramified and } \left[\frac{L/K}{\mathfrak{p}_{\min}(\mathfrak{a})} \right] = C \right\}.$$

Sweeting-Woo's formula: Number Fields

L/K : finite Galois extension over an arbitrary number field K ;

$G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$: Galois group;

$\left[\frac{L/K}{\mathfrak{P}} \right]$: Artin symbol;

$\left[\frac{L/K}{\mathfrak{p}} \right] := \left\{ \left[\frac{L/K}{\mathfrak{P}} \right] : \mathfrak{P} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_L \text{ and } \mathfrak{P} | \mathfrak{p} \right\}$ for $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ unramified.

Ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ is called *distinguishable* (or salient): if \mathfrak{a} has a **unique** prime factor $\mathfrak{p}_{\min}(\mathfrak{a})$ attaining the smallest norm of prime factors of \mathfrak{a} . For any conjugacy class $C \subset G$, let

$$\mathfrak{D}(L/K, C) := \left\{ \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \text{ is distinguishable} : \mathfrak{p}_{\min}(\mathfrak{a}) \text{ unramified and } \left[\frac{L/K}{\mathfrak{p}_{\min}(\mathfrak{a})} \right] = C \right\}.$$

Theorem (Sweeting-Woo, 2019)

For any conjugacy class $C \subset G$, we have

$$-\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{2 \leq |\mathfrak{a}| \leq X \\ \mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{D}(L/K, C)}} \frac{\mu(\mathfrak{a})}{|\mathfrak{a}|} = \frac{|C|}{|G|}.$$

Kural-McDonald-Sah's formula: Natural density

K : an arbitrary number field;

\mathcal{P} : the set of all prime ideals;

$S \subseteq \mathcal{P}$: a subset of \mathcal{P} ;

Kural-McDonald-Sah's formula: Natural density

K : an arbitrary number field;

\mathcal{P} : the set of all prime ideals;

$S \subseteq \mathcal{P}$: a subset of \mathcal{P} ;

$\delta(S)$: natural density of S in \mathcal{P} , if the following limit exists

$$\delta(S) = \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{\mathfrak{p} \in S : |\mathfrak{p}| \leq X\}}{\#\{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P} : |\mathfrak{p}| \leq X\}}.$$

$\mathfrak{D}(K, S) := \{\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \text{ is distinguishable} : \mathfrak{p}_{\min}(\mathfrak{a}) \in S\}.$

Kural-McDonald-Sah's formula: Natural density

K : an arbitrary number field;

\mathcal{P} : the set of all prime ideals;

$S \subseteq \mathcal{P}$: a subset of \mathcal{P} ;

$\delta(S)$: natural density of S in \mathcal{P} , if the following limit exists

$$\delta(S) = \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{\mathfrak{p} \in S : |\mathfrak{p}| \leq X\}}{\#\{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P} : |\mathfrak{p}| \leq X\}}.$$

$\mathfrak{D}(K, S) := \{\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \text{ is distinguishable} : \mathfrak{p}_{\min}(\mathfrak{a}) \in S\}.$

Theorem (Kural-McDonald-Sah (KMS), 2019)

If $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ has natural density $\delta(S)$, then

$$- \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{2 \leq |\mathfrak{a}| \leq X \\ \mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{D}(K, S)}} \frac{\mu(\mathfrak{a})}{|\mathfrak{a}|} = \delta(S).$$

Remarks:

1. For any conjugacy class $C \subset G$, take

$$S = \left\{ \mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P} : \mathfrak{p} \text{ unramified, } \left[\frac{L/K}{\mathfrak{p}} \right] = C \right\}.$$

Then CDT gives

$$\delta(S) = \frac{|C|}{|G|}.$$

Hence KMS' formula generalizes all of the previous results.

Remarks:

1. For any conjugacy class $C \subset G$, take

$$S = \left\{ \mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P} : \mathfrak{p} \text{ unramified, } \left[\frac{L/K}{\mathfrak{p}} \right] = C \right\}.$$

Then CDT gives

$$\delta(S) = \frac{|C|}{|G|}.$$

Hence KMS' formula generalizes all of the previous results.

2. In 2017, Ono-Schneider-Wagner showed a partition-theoretic analogue to Alladi's formula.

Remarks:

1. For any conjugacy class $C \subset G$, take

$$S = \left\{ \mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P} : \mathfrak{p} \text{ unramified, } \left[\frac{L/K}{\mathfrak{p}} \right] = C \right\}.$$

Then CDT gives

$$\delta(S) = \frac{|C|}{|G|}.$$

Hence KMS' formula generalizes all of the previous results.

2. In 2017, Ono-Schneider-Wagner showed a partition-theoretic analogue to Alladi's formula.

3. For $K = \mathbb{Q}$, the speaker generalizes KMS' formula for the μ replaced by $\mu * a$, where the arithmetic function a satisfies certain simple analytic properties.

Remarks:

1. For any conjugacy class $C \subset G$, take

$$S = \left\{ \mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P} : \mathfrak{p} \text{ unramified, } \left[\frac{L/K}{\mathfrak{p}} \right] = C \right\}.$$

Then CDT gives

$$\delta(S) = \frac{|C|}{|G|}.$$

Hence KMS' formula generalizes all of the previous results.

2. In 2017, Ono-Schneider-Wagner showed a partition-theoretic analogue to Alladi's formula.

3. For $K = \mathbb{Q}$, the speaker generalizes KMS' formula for the μ replaced by $\mu * a$, where the arithmetic function a satisfies certain simple analytic properties.

E.g. we can take $\mu * a$ to be the Liouville function or the Ramanujan sum.

Remarks:

1. For any conjugacy class $C \subset G$, take

$$S = \left\{ \mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P} : \mathfrak{p} \text{ unramified, } \left[\frac{L/K}{\mathfrak{p}} \right] = C \right\}.$$

Then CDT gives

$$\delta(S) = \frac{|C|}{|G|}.$$

Hence KMS' formula generalizes all of the previous results.

2. In 2017, Ono-Schneider-Wagner showed a partition-theoretic analogue to Alladi's formula.

3. For $K = \mathbb{Q}$, the speaker generalizes KMS' formula for the μ replaced by $\mu * a$, where the arithmetic function a satisfies certain simple analytic properties.

E.g. we can take $\mu * a$ to be the Liouville function or the Ramanujan sum.

Recently, Ono-Schneider-Wagner showed a partition-theoretic analogue to this kind of generalization.

Analogues for function fields

Divisors of function fields

Goal:

Find the analogue of KMS' formula over function fields:

$$-\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{2 \leq |\mathfrak{a}| \leq X \\ \mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{D}(K, S)}} \frac{\mu(\mathfrak{a})}{|\mathfrak{a}|} = \delta(S).$$

Divisors of function fields

Goal:

Find the analogue of KMS' formula over function fields:

$$-\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{2 \leq |a| \leq X \\ a \in \mathcal{D}(K, S)}} \frac{\mu(a)}{|a|} = \delta(S).$$

\mathbb{F}_q : a finite field of q elements. In $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$, we have

$$F = \prod_{i=1}^r P_i^{\alpha_i} \longleftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \cdot P_i$$

Multiplicative semigroup \longleftrightarrow Abelian semigroup.

Divisors of function fields

Goal:

Find the analogue of KMS' formula over function fields:

$$-\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{2 \leq |a| \leq X \\ a \in \mathcal{D}(K, S)}} \frac{\mu(a)}{|a|} = \delta(S).$$

\mathbb{F}_q : a finite field of q elements. In $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$, we have

$$F = \prod_{i=1}^r P_i^{\alpha_i} \longleftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \cdot P_i$$

Multiplicative semigroup \longleftrightarrow Abelian semigroup.

E.g.,

$$F = (1+x)^2 (3+x)^1 (1+x-x^2)^5 \\ \longleftrightarrow F = 2 \cdot (1+x) + 1 \cdot (3+x) + 5 \cdot (1+x-x^2)$$

Notation

$K/\mathbb{F}_q(x)$: a global function field (or simply a function field).

\mathcal{P} : all prime divisors of K . The letter P always denotes a prime divisor.

\mathcal{D} : the free Abelian semigroup generated by \mathcal{P} (i.e., the set of effective divisors).

$D = \sum_P a_P \cdot P$ (formal sum): an effective divisor of K , $a_P \geq 0$.

Notation

$K/\mathbb{F}_q(x)$: a global function field (or simply a function field).

\mathcal{P} : all prime divisors of K . The letter P always denotes a prime divisor.

\mathcal{D} : the free Abelian semigroup generated by \mathcal{P} (i.e., the set of effective divisors).

$D = \sum_P a_P \cdot P$ (formal sum): an effective divisor of K , $a_P \geq 0$.

$|D| = \prod_P |P|^{a_P}$, where $|P| = q^{\deg P}$.

$\mu(D)$: the Möbius function for divisors.

$$\mu(D) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } D = 0, \\ (-1)^k & \text{if } D = P_1 + \cdots + P_k, P_i\text{'s are distinct,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Notation

$K/\mathbb{F}_q(x)$: a global function field (or simply a function field).

\mathcal{P} : all prime divisors of K . The letter P always denotes a prime divisor.

\mathcal{D} : the free Abelian semigroup generated by \mathcal{P} (i.e., the set of effective divisors).

$D = \sum_P a_P \cdot P$ (formal sum): an effective divisor of K , $a_P \geq 0$.

$|D| = \prod_P |P|^{a_P}$, where $|P| = q^{\deg P}$.

$\mu(D)$: the Möbius function for divisors.

$$\mu(D) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } D = 0, \\ (-1)^k & \text{if } D = P_1 + \cdots + P_k, P_i\text{'s are distinct,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$d_-(D) := \min \{ \deg P : P \mid D \}$.

D is *distinguishable*: if there is a **unique** prime factor, say $P_{\min}(D)$, of D attaining the minimal degree $d_-(D)$.

e.g. $(1+x)(2+x)(1+x+x^5)$ is NOT distinguishable;

$(1+x)(1+x+x^5)$ is distinguishable.

Notation

$K/\mathbb{F}_q(x)$: a global function field (or simply a function field).

\mathcal{P} : all prime divisors of K . The letter P always denotes a prime divisor.

\mathcal{D} : the free Abelian semigroup generated by \mathcal{P} (i.e., the set of effective divisors).

$D = \sum_P a_P \cdot P$ (formal sum): an effective divisor of K , $a_P \geq 0$.

$|D| = \prod_P |P|^{a_P}$, where $|P| = q^{\deg P}$.

$\mu(D)$: the Möbius function for divisors.

$$\mu(D) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } D = 0, \\ (-1)^k & \text{if } D = P_1 + \cdots + P_k, P_i\text{'s are distinct,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$d_-(D) := \min \{ \deg P : P \mid D \}$.

D is **distinguishable**: if there is a **unique** prime factor, say $P_{\min}(D)$, of D attaining the minimal degree $d_-(D)$.

e.g. $(1+x)(2+x)(1+x+x^5)$ is NOT distinguishable;

$(1+x)(1+x+x^5)$ is distinguishable.

$S \subset \mathcal{P}$: a subset of prime divisors.

$\mathfrak{D}(K, S) := \{ D \in \mathcal{D} : D \text{ is distinguishable and } P_{\min}(D) \in S \}$.

Notation

$K/\mathbb{F}_q(x)$: a global function field (or simply a function field).

\mathcal{P} : all prime divisors of K . The letter P always denotes a prime divisor.

\mathcal{D} : the free Abelian semigroup generated by \mathcal{P} (i.e., the set of effective divisors).

$D = \sum_P a_P \cdot P$ (formal sum): an effective divisor of K , $a_P \geq 0$.

$|D| = \prod_P |P|^{a_P}$, where $|P| = q^{\deg P}$.

$\mu(D)$: the Möbius function for divisors.

$$\mu(D) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } D = 0, \\ (-1)^k & \text{if } D = P_1 + \cdots + P_k, P_i\text{'s are distinct,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$d_-(D) := \min \{\deg P : P \mid D\}$.

D is **distinguishable**: if there is a **unique** prime factor, say $P_{\min}(D)$, of D attaining the minimal degree $d_-(D)$.

e.g. $(1+x)(2+x)(1+x+x^5)$ is NOT distinguishable;

$(1+x)(1+x+x^5)$ is distinguishable.

$S \subset \mathcal{P}$: a subset of prime divisors.

$\mathfrak{D}(K, S) := \{D \in \mathcal{D} : D \text{ is distinguishable and } P_{\min}(D) \in S\}$.

$\delta(S) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{P \in S : \deg P = n\}}{\#\{P \in \mathcal{P} : \deg P = n\}}$: natural density of S .

Main Theorem

Theorem (Duan-W.-Yi (DWY), 2020)

Given a global function field K , if $S \subset \mathcal{P}$ has a natural density $\delta(S)$, then

$$- \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \deg D \leq n \\ D \in \mathcal{D}(K, S)}} \frac{\mu(D)}{|D|} = \delta(S).$$

Main Theorem

Theorem (Duan-W.-Yi (DWY), 2020)

Given a global function field K , if $S \subset \mathcal{P}$ has a natural density $\delta(S)$, then

$$- \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \deg D \leq n \\ D \in \mathcal{D}(K, S)}} \frac{\mu(D)}{|D|} = \delta(S).$$

Remark. Duan later found that the main theorem also holds for varieties.

Application 1: Chebotarev Density Theorem for function fields

L/K : a geometric Galois extension of function fields.

$G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$: Galois group.

$(P, L/K)$: Frobenius at P for P unramified.

Application 1: Chebotarev Density Theorem for function fields

L/K : a geometric Galois extension of function fields.

$G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$: Galois group.

$(P, L/K)$: Frobenius at P for P unramified.

By Chebotarev Density Theorem for function fields, we have

Corollary 1 (DWY, 2020)

For any conjugacy class $C \subseteq G$, we have

$$- \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{D \in \mathcal{D}(K, \mathcal{P}), 1 \leq \deg D \leq n \\ (P_{\min}(D), L/K) = C}} \frac{\mu(D)}{|D|} = \frac{\#C}{\#G}.$$

Application 2: Prime Polynomial Theorem for arithmetic progressions

S : a subset of monic irreducible polynomials of $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$.

$\mathfrak{D}(q, S) := \{F \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] : F \text{ is monic and distinguishable, and } p_{\min}(F) \in S\}$.

Application 2: Prime Polynomial Theorem for arithmetic progressions

S : a subset of monic irreducible polynomials of $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$.

$\mathcal{D}(q, S) := \{F \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] : F \text{ is monic and distinguishable, and } p_{\min}(F) \in S\}$.

Corollary 2 (DWY, 2020)

Let $q \geq 2$ be fixed. If $S \subset \mathcal{P}$ has a natural density $\delta(S)$, then we have that

$$- \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \deg F \leq n \\ F \in \mathcal{D}(q, S)}} \frac{\mu(F)}{|F|} = \delta(S).$$

Application 2: Prime Polynomial Theorem for arithmetic progressions

\mathcal{S} : a subset of monic irreducible polynomials of $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$.

$\mathcal{D}(q, \mathcal{S}) := \{F \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] : F \text{ is monic and distinguishable, and } \rho_{\min}(F) \in \mathcal{S}\}$.

Corollary 2 (DWY, 2020)

Let $q \geq 2$ be fixed. If $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{P}$ has a natural density $\delta(\mathcal{S})$, then we have that

$$- \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \deg F \leq n \\ F \in \mathcal{D}(q, \mathcal{S})}} \frac{\mu(F)}{|F|} = \delta(\mathcal{S}).$$

By Prime Polynomial Theorem for arithmetic progressions, we get that

Corollary 3 (DWY, 2020)

For relatively prime $f, g \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$, we have

$$- \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{F \in \mathcal{D}(q, \mathcal{P}), 1 \leq \deg F \leq n \\ \rho_{\min}(F) \equiv f \pmod{g}}} \frac{\mu(F)}{|F|} = \frac{1}{\varphi(g)}.$$

Here $\varphi(g)$ is the function field Euler totient function.

Key ingredients in the proof of Main Theorem

Strategy: follow Alladi's work and KMS' work.

Key ingredients in the proof of Main Theorem

Strategy: follow Alladi's work and KMS' work.

1. Duality between prime factors of divisors

Recall that S is a set of prime divisors of K .

For a divisor $A \in \mathcal{D}$, we let

$$d^+(A) := \max \{ \deg P : P|A \}$$

$$Q_S(A) := \# \{ P \in S : \deg P = d^+(A), P|A \}$$

Key ingredients in the proof of Main Theorem

Strategy: follow Alladi's work and KMS' work.

1. Duality between prime factors of divisors

Recall that S is a set of prime divisors of K .

For a divisor $A \in \mathcal{D}$, we let

$$d^+(A) := \max \{ \deg P : P|A \}$$

$$Q_S(A) := \# \{ P \in S : \deg P = d^+(A), P|A \}$$

Lemma (Duality Lemma, DWY, 2020)

Suppose $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $f(0) = 0$. Then the following identity holds

$$\sum_{A \geq B} \mu(B) 1_{\mathcal{D}(K, S)}(B) f(d_-(B)) = -Q_S(A) f(d^+(A)).$$

Here $1_{\mathcal{D}(K, S)}$ is the indicator function on $\mathcal{D}(K, S)$.

Theorem (DWY, 2020)

For any bounded arithmetic function f with $f(0) = 0$, we have that

$$\sum_{\deg A=n} Q_S(A) f(d^+(A)) \sim \delta(S) c_K q^n \quad (3)$$

if and only if

$$- \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \deg A \leq n \\ A \in \mathfrak{D}(K, S)}} \frac{\mu(A) f(d_-(A))}{|A|} = \delta(S), \quad (4)$$

where $c_K > 0$ is a constant.

Theorem (DWY, 2020)

For any bounded arithmetic function f with $f(0) = 0$, we have that

$$\sum_{\deg A=n} Q_S(A) f(d^+(A)) \sim \delta(S) c_K q^n \quad (3)$$

if and only if

$$- \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \deg A \leq n \\ A \in \mathfrak{D}(K, S)}} \frac{\mu(A) f(d_-(A))}{|A|} = \delta(S), \quad (4)$$

where $c_K > 0$ is a constant.

2. Asymptotic estimate for $Q_S(A)$

Theorem (DWY, 2020)

For any fixed subset $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ with natural density $\delta(S)$, we have

$$\sum_{\deg A=n} Q_S(A) = \delta(S) c_K q^n + o(q^n). \quad (5)$$

Theorem (DWY, 2020)

For any bounded arithmetic function f with $f(0) = 0$, we have that

$$\sum_{\deg A=n} Q_S(A) f(d^+(A)) \sim \delta(S) c_K q^n \quad (3)$$

if and only if

$$- \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \deg A \leq n \\ A \in \mathfrak{D}(K, S)}} \frac{\mu(A) f(d_-(A))}{|A|} = \delta(S), \quad (4)$$

where $c_K > 0$ is a constant.

2. Asymptotic estimate for $Q_S(A)$

Theorem (DWY, 2020)

For any fixed subset $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ with natural density $\delta(S)$, we have

$$\sum_{\deg A=n} Q_S(A) = \delta(S) c_K q^n + o(q^n). \quad (5)$$

Main tools: PNT for function fields, smooth divisors (analogous to smooth numbers), zero-free region of zeta function, elementary sieve.

Conjecture: Ultimate Alladi's formula

Elements of Alladi's formula

$(G, | \cdot |)$: a normed free Abelian semigroup generated by prime elements \mathcal{P} .

Norm $| \cdot |$: either archimedean or nonarchimedean.

$S \subseteq \mathcal{P}$: a subset of \mathcal{P} with natural density $\delta(S)$.

$\mu(\bar{g})$: the Möbius function.

$\mathfrak{D}(G, S)$: the set of distinguishable elements whose $p_{\min}(g) \in S$.

(One may add more natural conditions on G for the need of the proof.)

Elements of Alladi's formula

$(G, | \cdot |)$: a normed free Abelian semigroup generated by prime elements \mathcal{P} .

Norm $| \cdot |$: either archimedean or nonarchimedean.

$S \subseteq \mathcal{P}$: a subset of \mathcal{P} with natural density $\delta(S)$.

$\mu(\bar{g})$: the Möbius function.

$\mathfrak{D}(G, S)$: the set of distinguishable elements whose $p_{\min}(g) \in S$.

(One may add more natural conditions on G for the need of the proof.)

The Riemann zeta function on G :

$$\zeta_G(s) := \sum_{g \in G} \frac{1}{|g|^s} = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|p|^s}\right)^{-1}.$$

Conjecture

Suppose

- 1 Numerator function $b(g)$: the Dirichlet series $\sum_{g \in G} b(g)|g|^{-s}$ is "near" to $1/\zeta_G^r(s)$ for some positive real number r (or even complex). The power r is called the order of $\sum_{g \in G} b(g)|g|^{-s}$.
- 2 Denominator function $h(g)$: it is multiplicative and "near" to $|g|$, say $\varphi(g), \sigma(g)$. The case $h(g) = |g|$ is essential.

Conjecture

Suppose

- 1 Numerator function $b(g)$: the Dirichlet series $\sum_{g \in G} b(g)|g|^{-s}$ is "near" to $1/\zeta_G^r(s)$ for some positive real number r (or even complex). The power r is called the order of $\sum_{g \in G} b(g)|g|^{-s}$.
- 2 Denominator function $h(g)$: it is multiplicative and "near" to $|g|$, say $\varphi(g), \sigma(g)$. The case $h(g) = |g|$ is essential.

Conjecture: Ultimate Alladi's formula (W., 2020)

$$- \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{1 < |g| \leq X \\ g \in \mathcal{D}(G, S)}} \frac{b(g)}{h(g)} = \delta(S).$$

Conjecture

Suppose

- 1 Numerator function $b(g)$: the Dirichlet series $\sum_{g \in G} b(g)|g|^{-s}$ is "near" to $1/\zeta_G^r(s)$ for some positive real number r (or even complex). The power r is called the order of $\sum_{g \in G} b(g)|g|^{-s}$.
- 2 Denominator function $h(g)$: it is multiplicative and "near" to $|g|$, say $\varphi(g), \sigma(g)$. The case $h(g) = |g|$ is essential.

Conjecture: Ultimate Alladi's formula (W., 2020)

$$-\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{1 < |g| \leq X \\ g \in \mathcal{D}(G, S)}} \frac{b(g)}{h(g)} = \delta(S).$$

Motivation/Observation.

- 1 Analytic number theory aspect: when $\sum_{g \in G} b(g)|g|^{-s}$ is "near" to $1/\zeta_G^r(s)$, it is "near" to the Euler product $\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \left(1 - \frac{r}{|p|^s}\right)$, the probability of each prime p of the same weight r is equal in the Euler product.
- 2 Combinatorics aspect: Alladi's duality idea on prime factors holds for G using the principle of inclusion-exclusion.

Present Status

Key variables. The norm $|\cdot|$ and the function $b(g)$.

Present Status

Key variables. The norm $|\cdot|$ and the function $b(g)$.

Known results.

- 1 Kural-McDonald-Sah's formula, 2019: $G = \mathcal{O}_K$, $b(g) = \mu(g)$, $h(g) = |g|$, where K is a number field ($|\cdot|$ is archimedean).
- 2 W., 2020: $G = \mathbb{N}$, $b(g) = \mu * a$, $h(g) = |g|, \varphi(g)$. (It may be generalized to number fields.)
- 3 Duan-W.-Yi, 2020: $G = \mathcal{D}$, the effective divisors of a global function field, $b(g) = \mu(g)$. (It may be generalized to the general free Abelian semigroup with nonarchimedean norm, at least for the norm $|g| = q^{\deg g}$ ($q > 1$ is real).)

Present Status

Key variables. The norm $|\cdot|$ and the function $b(g)$.

Known results.

- 1 Kural-McDonald-Sah's formula, 2019: $G = \mathcal{O}_K$, $b(g) = \mu(g)$, $h(g) = |g|$, where K is a number field ($|\cdot|$ is archimedean).
- 2 W., 2020: $G = \mathbb{N}$, $b(g) = \mu * a$, $h(g) = |g|, \varphi(g)$. (It may be generalized to number fields.)
- 3 Duan-W.-Yi, 2020: $G = \mathcal{D}$, the effective divisors of a global function field, $b(g) = \mu(g)$. (It may be generalized to the general free Abelian semigroup with nonarchimedean norm, at least for the norm $|g| = q^{\deg g}$ ($q > 1$ is real).)

Unknown cases.

- 1 The case $r = 1$ for **general** $(G, |\cdot|)$ and $b(g)$. This would unify all of currently known results.

Present Status

Key variables. The norm $|\cdot|$ and the function $b(g)$.

Known results.

- 1 Kural-McDonald-Sah's formula, 2019: $G = \mathcal{O}_K$, $b(g) = \mu(g)$, $h(g) = |g|$, where K is a number field ($|\cdot|$ is archimedean).
- 2 W., 2020: $G = \mathbb{N}$, $b(g) = \mu * a$, $h(g) = |g|, \varphi(g)$. (It may be generalized to number fields.)
- 3 Duan-W.-Yi, 2020: $G = \mathcal{D}$, the effective divisors of a global function field, $b(g) = \mu(g)$. (It may be generalized to the general free Abelian semigroup with nonarchimedean norm, at least for the norm $|g| = q^{\deg g}$ ($q > 1$ is real).)

Unknown cases.

- 1 The case $r = 1$ for **general** $(G, |\cdot|)$ and $b(g)$. This would unify all of currently known results.
- 2 Until now, there is no any case known for $r \neq 1$. E.g., prove that

$$- \sum_{\substack{n \geq 2 \\ \rho_{\min}(n) \equiv \ell \pmod{k}}} \frac{\lambda(n)2^{\omega(n)}}{n} = \frac{1}{\varphi(k)}.$$

Note that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(n)2^{\omega(n)}}{n^s} = \frac{\zeta(2s)}{\zeta(s)^2}.$$

Thank you!