

# Simplicial Complexes and $\Delta$ -Complexes

This note expands on some of the material on  $\Delta$ -complexes in §2.1 of Hatcher's book *Algebraic Topology*, from a slightly more classical point of view.

**Simplices** Given any linearly independent set  $V = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  of  $n+1$  points in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , the  $n$ -simplex with vertices  $V$  is the convex hull of  $V$ , i.e. the set of all points of the form  $t_0v_0 + t_1v_1 + \dots + t_nv_n$ , where  $\sum_{i=0}^n t_i = 1$  and  $t_i \geq 0$  for all  $i$  (see pp. 102–3). Let us call it  $\Delta_V$ . (We can relax the condition on  $V$  slightly; we only need the  $n$  vectors  $v_1 - v_0, \dots, v_n - v_0$  to be linearly independent.)

Low-dimensional examples are familiar enough: for  $n = 1$  we find the line segment joining  $v_0$  to  $v_1$ ; for  $n = 2$  we find the triangle with vertices  $v_0, v_1$  and  $v_2$ ; for  $n = 3$  we find the tetrahedron with vertices  $V$ . The definition makes sense even for  $n = 0$ ; we simply obtain the one-point space  $\{v_0\}$  as the 0-simplex with vertex  $v_0$ . However, the empty set is not considered a simplex.

**DEFINITION 1** The *standard  $n$ -simplex*  $\Delta^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  has the standard basis  $\{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  as its set of vertices, i.e.

$$\Delta^n = \left\{ (t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \sum_{i=0}^n t_i = 1, t_i \geq 0 \text{ for all } i \right\}.$$

Given  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , the  $i$ -th face  $F_i$  of  $\Delta^n$  is the subspace of points that satisfy  $t_i = 0$ ; it is the  $(n-1)$ -simplex whose vertices are all those of  $\Delta^n$  except  $e_i$ . The *boundary*  $\partial\Delta^n$  of  $\Delta^n$  is the subspace  $\bigcup_{i=0}^n F_i$ .

For each  $i$ , we have the obvious map  $\eta_i: \Delta^{n-1} \cong F_i \subset \Delta^n$ , where  $\eta_i(e_j) = e_j$  if  $j < i$ , but  $\eta_i(e_j) = e_{j+1}$  for  $j \geq i$ .

The linear map  $T: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  given by

$$T(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n) = t_0v_0 + t_1v_1 + \dots + t_nv_n$$

induces the obvious bijection  $\sigma_V: \Delta^n \rightarrow \Delta_V$  (see p. 103), which is a homeomorphism since  $\Delta^n$  is compact and  $\Delta_V$  is Hausdorff. (Some books adopt a different choice of standard  $n$ -simplex  $\Delta^n$ , but this observation shows that it does not matter.)

**Simplicial complexes in  $\mathbb{R}^N$**  Let  $V$  be a linearly independent set of points in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . For each subset  $\alpha \subset V$ , we have defined the simplex  $\Delta_\alpha$ . The subspace  $X$  of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  formed by taking the union of *some* of these simplices is called a (geometric) *simplicial complex*. Its  $n$ -skeleton  $X^n \subset X$  is formed by keeping only the  $i$ -simplices for  $i \leq n$ . Since there is a homeomorphism  $(\Delta^n, \partial\Delta^n) \cong (D^n, S^{n-1})$ , it is clear that  $X$  is a finite CW-complex, with one  $n$ -cell for each  $n$ -simplex. Despite appearances, simplicial complexes include many spaces of interest.

It is easy to see that the space  $X$  depends only on which subsets  $\alpha$  are used, not on the choice of embedding  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ . (Indeed, the condition on  $V$  may be relaxed somewhat, but this does not concern us.) Clearly, if  $\Delta_\alpha \subset X$  and  $\beta \subset \alpha$ , then  $\Delta_\beta \subset \Delta_\alpha \subset X$ . This leads to the following combinatorial description (see p. 107). We also wish to allow  $V$  to be infinite, and to free ourselves of reliance on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , which may suggest an inappropriate topology on  $X$ .

**DEFINITION 2** An *abstract simplicial complex*  $K$  consists of a set  $V$ , whose elements are called *vertices*, and a collection  $S$  of finite non-empty subsets of  $V$  that satisfies the axioms:

- (i) For each  $v \in V$ , the singleton  $\{v\} \in S$ ;
- (ii) If  $\alpha \in S$  and  $\beta \subset \alpha$  is non-empty, then  $\beta \in S$ .

A member  $\alpha \in S$  consisting of  $n + 1$  elements is called an  $n$ -*simplex* of  $K$ .

As before, the  $n$ -*skeleton*  $K^n$  of  $K$  is obtained by keeping only the  $i$ -simplices for  $i \leq n$ .

One can construct the *geometric realization*  $|K|$  of  $K$ . For each simplex  $\alpha$ , take a copy  $\Delta_\alpha$  of  $\Delta^n$ , where  $n$  is the dimension of  $\alpha$ , and identify the faces appropriately to construct the space  $|K|$  as a quotient of the disjoint union  $\coprod_\alpha \Delta_\alpha$ .

We do not pursue this approach any further. We note that the homeomorphism  $\sigma_\alpha: \Delta^n \cong \Delta_\alpha$  is not uniquely defined until we choose an ordering of the set  $\alpha$ . For future purposes, we need a preferred  $\sigma_\alpha$ , which leads to the following concept.

### Ordered simplicial complexes

**DEFINITION 3** An *ordered abstract simplicial complex*  $K$  is an abstract simplicial complex as above, in which the set  $V$  of vertices is ordered. We write  $K_n$  for the set of  $n$ -simplices of  $K$ . Then for  $n > 0$  and  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , we define the *face operator*  $d_i: K_n \rightarrow K_{n-1}$  on  $\alpha = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  by omitting  $v_i$ , where the vertices  $v_0 < v_1 < \dots < v_n$  are arranged in order. (Again, some books get by with something less than a total ordering on  $V$ , but the present approach is simplest.)

**LEMMA 4** We have

$$d_i \circ d_j = d_j \circ d_{i+1}: K_n \longrightarrow K_{n-2} \quad \text{whenever } n \geq 2 \text{ and } i \geq j. \quad (5)$$

*Proof* To compute  $d_i d_j \alpha$ , we first delete  $v_j$ . Then  $v_{i+1}$  moves left one place to position  $i$ , where it is deleted by  $d_i$ . On the other hand, if we delete  $v_{i+1}$  first,  $v_j$  does not move, and is deleted by  $d_j$ .  $\square$

**Geometric realization** We construct the geometric realization  $|K|$  of an ordered abstract simplicial complex in a way that generalizes the finite case in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . It will have a map

$$\sigma_\alpha: \Delta^n \longrightarrow |K| \quad (6)$$

for each simplex  $\alpha$  of  $K$ , where  $n$  is the dimension of  $\alpha$ . To ensure that the faces of  $\sigma_\alpha(\Delta^n)$  really are what they should be, we require for each  $i$  the commutative triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \Delta^n & \\ \eta_i \nearrow & \downarrow \sigma_\alpha & \\ \Delta^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{d_i \alpha}} & |K| \end{array} \quad (7)$$

The construction is inductive. It begins with the 0-skeleton  $|K^0| = K_0$ , treated as a discrete space, where for each  $\alpha \in K_0$ ,  $\sigma_\alpha: \Delta^0 \rightarrow |K^0| = K_0$  has image  $\alpha$ .

Suppose we have already constructed the  $(n-1)$ -skeleton  $|K^{n-1}|$ , with maps  $\sigma_\alpha$  for all simplices  $\alpha$  of dimension less than or equal to  $n-1$ , satisfying condition (7). We construct  $|K^n|$  from it by *attaching  $n$ -cells*, one for each  $\alpha \in K_n$ , by the pushout square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{\alpha} \partial\Delta^n & \xrightarrow{f} & |K^{n-1}| \\ \downarrow \subset & & \downarrow \subset \\ \coprod_{\alpha} \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{g} & |K^n| \end{array}$$

On the  $\alpha$ -th copy of  $\Delta^n$ , the resulting  $g$  will provide the characteristic map

$$\sigma_\alpha: (\Delta^n, \partial\Delta^n) \longrightarrow (|K^n|, |K^{n-1}|).$$

We need to construct the attaching map  $f$ . We write  $f_\alpha: \partial\Delta^n \rightarrow |K^{n-1}|$  for its restriction to the  $\alpha$ -th copy of  $\partial\Delta^n$ . To satisfy diagram (7),  $f_\alpha$  must be given on each face  $F_i$  of  $\Delta^n$  as

$$F_i \cong \Delta^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{d_i\alpha}} |K^{n-1}|.$$

We must check that  $f_\alpha$  is well defined on  $F_i \cap F_j$  whenever  $i < j$  (assuming  $n \geq 2$ ; if  $n = 1$  there is nothing to prove). On  $F_i \cap F_j$ , considered as a subspace of  $F_i$ , it is given by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F_i \cap F_j & \xrightarrow{\cong} & F'_{j-1} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \Delta^{n-2} \\ \downarrow \subset & & \downarrow \subset & \nearrow \eta_{j-1} & \downarrow \sigma_{d_{j-1}d_i\alpha} \\ F_i & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \Delta^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{d_i\alpha}} & |K^{n-1}| \end{array}$$

where  $F'_k$  denotes the  $k$ -th face of  $\Delta^{n-1}$ . We note that because  $i \leq j-1$ , the homeomorphism  $\Delta^{n-1} \cong F_i$  carries  $F'_{j-1}$  (not  $F'_j$ ) to  $F_i \cap F_j$ .

If instead we regard  $F_i \cap F_j$  as a subspace of  $F_j$ , we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F_i \cap F_j & \xrightarrow{\cong} & F'_i & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \Delta^{n-2} \\ \downarrow \subset & & \downarrow \subset & \nearrow \eta_i & \downarrow \sigma_{d_i d_j \alpha} \\ F_j & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \Delta^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{d_j \alpha}} & |K^{n-1}| \end{array}$$

Since both homeomorphisms  $F_i \cap F_j \cong \Delta^{n-2}$  are the standard order-preserving map, Lemma 4 shows that the two maps agree on  $F_i \cap F_j$ . This completes the induction step.

To make  $|K|$  a CW-complex, we topologize it as a quotient space of  $\coprod_{\alpha} \Delta^n$ .

A *triangulation* of any space  $X$  is a homeomorphism  $|K| \cong X$  for some simplicial complex  $K$ .

**$\Delta$ -complexes** In the above geometric realization, we observe that we nowhere used the fact that each  $\alpha$  is a set of vertices. We therefore generalize.

**DEFINITION 8** An *abstract  $\Delta$ -complex*  $K$  is a sequence of sets  $K_0, K_1, K_2, \dots$ , with face operators  $d_i: K_n \rightarrow K_{n-1}$  for  $n > 0$  and  $0 \leq i \leq n$  that satisfy equation (5).

We construct its geometric realization  $|K|$  exactly as above; the result is a  $\Delta$ -complex as described on p. 104. The only fact we needed was Lemma 4, which we took here as an axiom. This does not enlarge the class of spaces  $|K|$ , as Exercise 23 shows how to triangulate  $|K|$  as a simplicial complex for any  $\Delta$ -complex  $K$ . However,  $\Delta$ -complexes are often convenient to work with in practice, as they typically contain many fewer simplices than any simplicial triangulation of  $|K|$ .